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## FOREWORD

Welcome to the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead's Education Data Pack for the Academic Year 2015-16. We hope you find this a useful document which provides details of the performance and attainment in our Borough.

This edition of the 2015-16 Education Data Pack uses validated data.
The vast majority of RBWM children and young people achieve well. We are ambitious for all of them and strive, with our partners, to make sure they all achieve the best they can so that they are able to play their full part as future citizens.

We are committed to continuous improvement and will ensure that our practice reflects this. The analysis of the data within this pack indicates that together we need to:

- Continue to support schools so all provide a good or outstanding education.
- Work towards Royal Borough rankings (against other Local Authorities) for disadvantaged and other vulnerable pupil groups being comparable to those for the equivalent non-disadvantaged group.

The views of all our education providers* including head teachers, governors, teachers, support staff, children and young people are important to us and influence the overall development of RBWM services. This Education Data Pack has been refined following feedback from last year.

We will continue to consult with Education Leaders to further develop the Education Data Pack, to ensure it a useful tool that supports our ongoing cycle of evaluation and continual improvement.

Please let us know if you have any suggestions you feel would enhance our next Education Data Pack.


Alison Alexander
Managing Director \& Strategic Director
Adult, Children and Health Services


Councillor Natasha Airey
Lead Member for Children's Services
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## GLOSSARY

## KEY STAGES OF THE CURRICULUM

1. The curriculum is split into stages according to the age of the pupils, see Table A.

Table A - Key Stage and Age Summary

| Stage | Age range | School year | National exam <br> or test at end of <br> Key Stage |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Foundation Stage | $3-5$ | Nursery and Reception | Assessment |  |
| Key Stage 1 | $5-7$ | $1-2$ | Assessment |  |
| Key Stage 2 | $7-11$ | $3-6$ | SATS |  |
| Key Stage 3 | $11-14$ | $7-9$ | GCSE |  |
| Key Stage 4 | $14-16$ | $10-11$ | A $/$ Level 3 |  |
| Key Stage 5 | Post 16 | $12+$ |  |  |

2. Pupil assessment is:

- At Foundation stage pupils is assessed against a profile which has a strong emphasis on the three prime areas of communication and language; physical; and personal, social and emotional development. Practitioners make a best-fit assessment of whether children are emerging, expected or exceeding against each of the 17 early learning goals. The percentage of children achieving at least the expected level in the prime areas of learning and in the specific areas of literacy and mathematics are defined as having reached a 'Good Level of Development' (GLD).
- At the end of Year 1 pupils take a phonics screening test.
- Pupils are assessed by teachers in the core subjects of Reading, Writing and Mathematics at the end of Key Stage 1.
- At the end of Key Stage 2, tests take place in Reading, Mathematics and Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling and teacher assessments are carried out in Reading, Writing, Mathematics and Science. Pupils are required to reach the expected standard in Reading test, Writing assessment and Maths test.
- At the end of Key Stage 3 there are no statutory assessment requirements.
- At Key Stage 4 and 5, pupils undertake external examinations, most commonly GCSEs and A levels.


## STATISTICAL NEIGHBOURS

The tables and charts in the report compare schools in the Royal Borough with those nationally and those in statistically similar authorities, known as our 'Statistical Neighbours'. The Royal Borough's current Statistical Neighbours are: Surrey, Buckinghamshire, Bracknell Forest, Hertfordshire, Wokingham, West Berkshire, Oxfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Hampshire and Trafford. They were last changed in October 2015 with the introduction of Trafford and the loss of Cheshire East.

## RUSSELL GROUP UNIVERSITIES

The Russell Group represents 24 leading UK universities which are 'committed to maintaining the very best research, an outstanding teaching and learning experience and unrivalled links with business and the public sector':
University of Birmingham, University of Bristol, University of Cambridge, Cardiff University, Durham University,University of Edinburgh, University of Exeter, University of Glasgow, Imperial College London, King's College London, University of Leeds,University of Liverpool, London School of Economics \& Political Science, University of Manchester, Newcastle University,University of Nottingham,University of Oxford,Queen Mary University of London, Queen's University Belfast, University of Sheffield, University of Southampton, University College London, University of Warwick, University of York.

## ACRONYMS

| DfE | Department for Education |
| :--- | :--- |
| SFR | Statistical First Release |

KS1-5
Key Stage 1-5
OFSTED
Office for Standards in Education
CiC
Child(ren) in care, Looked-after child(ren)
FSM (Pupils eligible for) Free School Meals
FSM6 Pupils eligible for Free School meals anytime in the last 6 years
SEN Special Educational Needs
SEN-EHC SEN pupils with Education Healthcare Plan (previously statemented pupils)
Pupils with statutory assessment of severe and complex needs
NOE/NOR Number of entries/Number on Roll
ALPS A Level Performance System
EYFS Early Years Foundation Stage
LA Local Authority
SUPP Information suppressed (by DfE) because the underlying numbers are too small
Facilitating The A level subjects most commonly required by top
Subjects

TA
PRU
EPAS
KEYPAS
NOVA universities: Mathematics and Further Mathematics; English Literature; Physics; Biology; Chemistry; Geography; History; Languages (modern and classic).
Teacher Assessment
Pupil Referral Unit
Educational Performance Analysis System
Key Stage Performance Analysis System
Replacement for EPAS system (from September 2015)


Cookham Nursery School
Maidenhead Nursery School

## RISE (not shown on map)

Manor Green School
Furze Platt Senior School
Newlands Girls' School
Altwood Church of England School
Cox Green School
Churchmead Church of England School
Dedworth Middle School
Windsor Girls' School
St Peter's Church of England Middle School
Charters School
Desborough College
Cookham Dean CE Primary School
Burchetts Green CE Infant School
White Waltham C of E Academy
Cheapside CE Primary School
Clewer Green CE School
The Royal School (Crown Aided)
St Michael's C of E Primary School
St Francis Catholic Primary School
Datchet St Mary's C of E Primary Academy
Homer First School
Dedworth Green First School
Alexander First School
Hilltop First School
Kings Court First School
St Mary's Catholic Primary School
St Luke's Church of England Primary School
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School
Braywood C of E First School
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School

Bisham School
Cookham Rise Primary School
Furze Platt Junior School
Furze Platt Infant School
Riverside Primary School \& Nursery
Courthouse Junior School
All Saints Church of England Junior School
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School
Forest Bridge School
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School
Knowl Hill CE Primary School
Wessex Primary School
Lowbrook Academy
Woodlands Park Primary \& Nursery School
Eton Wick C of E First School
Holyport C of E (Aided) Primary School \& Foundation Unit
Eton Porny C of E First School
The Queen Anne Royal Free CE First School
Wraysbury Primary School
South Ascot Village Primary School
Alwyn Infant School
The Lawns Nursery
The Windsor Boys' School
St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School
Trinity St Stephens Church of England First School
Oakfield First School
St Edward's Catholic First School
Trevelyan Middle School
Holy Trinity CE Primary School
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School
Braywick Court School
Holyport College
Oldfield Primary School
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

## 1. School Ofsted Inspections

1.1 The overall position for schools in the Borough at the end of the academic year 2015/6 shows $83 \%$ of all RBWM schools had an Ofsted judgement of good or better, up from $75 \%$ in 2015 . Nationally it rose from $84 \%$ at the end of the 2014/15 academic year to 89\% for the 2015/16 academic year.
1.2 There is still a significant difference between the Primary and Secondary phases in the percentage of good or better schools: $89 \%$ for primary (up from $78 \%$ last year), versus $62 \%$ for secondary (up from $54 \%$ ).
2. Attainment and progress
2.1 Standards in RBWM for 2015/16 were above national at Early Years and for all Key Stages with the exception of some measures at Key Stage 5:

- At Early Years Foundation Stage 74\% children in RBWM attained "a good level of development". This was above the national figure of $69 \%$.This was slightly above our 2015 result and places the Royal Borough equal $16^{\text {th }}$ LA in England. (Section 3.1)
- $81 \%$ of Year 1 children reached the required standard in the phonic screening test. Although this was an increase on 2015, the national average rose to a similar figure and thus the Royal Borough is in line with the national result. (Section 3.2)
- Children at the end of Key Stage 1, age 7, achieve well. Even with a new curriculum and assessment process, there continues to be an above average performance at KS1 in the core subjects of Reading (80\%), Writing (72\%) and Maths (78\%), with RBWM remaining above national results by approximately six percentage points in each case. This placed RBWM joint $6^{\text {th }}$, joint $11^{\text {th }}$ and joint $12^{\text {th }}$ respectively. (Sections 3.3 and 3.4 )
- Children at the end of Key Stage 2, aged 11, achieve well, even with a new curriculum and assessment process. There continues to be an above average performance at KS2 in the combined core subjects of Reading Writing and Maths (59\%), with RBWM remaining above the national result by approximately seven percentage points. This placed RBWM joint $24^{\text {th }}$ in the country. When compared to our statistical neighbours, we are $3^{\text {rd }}$ among the group of 11 LAs. (Section 3.5)
- Pupils in RBWM have made significantly higher progress that national in Reading, significantly lower progress than national in Writing and progress close to national in Maths. The new progress measures are now based on Scaled Scores derived from pupils' actual test marks. (section 3.6)
- At Key Stage 4, age 16, the percentage of pupils attaining $A^{*}-C$ in both English and Mathematics GCSE was $72 \%$, well above the national average of $63 \%$ for state schools. The LA was $9^{\text {th }}$ on this measure. Individual school results ranged from $62 \%-88 \%$. (Section 4.4)
- On the new Progress 8 measure, RBWM achieved +0.16 , defined as 'above average' by DfE. The LA ranks $21^{\text {st }}$ on this measure. Five RBWM schools achieved scores classified as 'above average' by DfE (i.e. where the entire confidence interval for the Progress 8 score is above zero) while the other five schools were classified as 'close to national average' for progress.(Section 4.5)
- At Key Stage 5, age 18, the average point score per A level student in their three best subjects, expressed as a grade was C+. This is the same as the national average for state schools. (Section 5.4)
- The proportion of RBWM A level students achieving grades AAB or better, including two or more facilitating subjects was $15.5 \%$, above the $13.9 \%$ national figure for state-funded schools/colleges. The LA ranks $34^{\text {th }}$ on this measure. (Section 5.4)
- The ALPS A Level value-added information takes into account students GCSE grades and the progress made. It shows two RBWM sixth forms are in the top $25 \%$, five are in line with the middle $50 \%$ of schools nationally and one is in the bottom $25 \%$. As a whole, RBWM is classified by ALPS as 'very good' for A level value-added. (Section 5.7)


## 3. Performance of pupil groups

3.1 At Key Stage 2, the proportion of pupils achieving the new 'expected standard' in the headline measure of reading, writing and maths combined at Key Stage 2 is above national overall, but below national for many vulnerable sub-groups including FSM, Disadvantaged and Black minority ethnic. The gap between RBWM girls and boys has increased this year from 3 to 11 percentage points this year (Section 6.2)
3.2 The Key Stage 4, the Progress 8 result for the Royal Borough is above national progress for all pupil groups except Asian pupils, Black pupils and pupils for whom English is not the first language. However for pupils in two of these groups (Asian and first language not English) the actual Progress 8 score was positive - i.e. these pupils made more progress than the average for all pupils with the same prior attainment. (Section 6.3)
3.3 FSM pupils underperform at each key-stage. compared to non-FSM pupils in RBWM, statistical neighbours and nationally every year from 2013 to 2016. (Table 6d).
3.4 With eleven or fewer children in care for each Key Stage, most published data will suppress RBWM figures and hence comparisons with national figures, when available will be very difficult to assess. Whilst based on a very small cohort and above national comparators we should aim to raise performance at all Key Stages. (Table 6g)

## 3 <br> Pupil absence

3.1 RBWM absences for primary for $2014 / 15$ were $3.7 \%$ and for secondary $4.8 \%$. Corresponding national figures for 2014/15 were $4.0 \%$ for primary and $5.2 \%$ for secondary (Section 7)

## 4 Pupil exclusions

4.1 The number of permanent exclusions has risen in 2015/16 to 20 pupils ( $0.09 \%$ of total pupils) from 10 pupils in 2014/15. The most recent national comparisons are for 2014/15, when 7 students in every 10,000 (0.07\%) were excluded. (Section 8)

5 Pupil destinations and young people not in education employment or training (NEET)
5.1 At the end of Key Stage 4, 95\% of RBWM students went on to, or remained in, education or employment, above the national level of 94\% (Section 9.1).
5.2 At the end of Key Stage 5, 55\% of RBWM school pupils progressed to UK Higher Education Institutions, 26\% of pupils progressed to 'top third' Higher Education Institutions including 16\% progressing to Russell Group Universities including Oxford and Cambridge. (Table 9c)
5.3 The average number of 16-17 year olds who were known to be not in education employment or training (NEET) during the 3 months Sep-Nov 2016 year to July 2016 was 59 ( $2.3 \%$ of the cohort). However, the \% unknown during this period was $47.4 \%$ (much higher than the England average of $15.4 \%$ ) making it hard to produce any meaningful analysis. The recent appointment of a new member of staff who has re-commenced collection of this data in the Borough should mean that the proportion of 'unknown' falls significantly in the coming months. (Section 10)

## SECTION 1 - SCHOOL OFSTED INSPECTIONS

## ALL SCHOOLS

1.1 Since 2009 Ofsted have applied a risk-based approach to inspection in which good and outstanding schools are inspected less frequently. In the academic year 2015/16, twelve Royal Borough schools were inspected by Ofsted; these consisted of eleven primary age schools, and one secondary age school.
1.2 The number of RBWM schools given an Ofsted judgement of good or better has risen in the 2015/16 academic year from $75 \%$ to $83 \%$ while nationally it was $89 \%$ at the end of the 2015/16 academic year.

Table 1a School Ofsted Ratings 2015/6


## PRIMARY AGE SCHOOLS

1.3 Overall $89 \%$ of primaries were rated good or outstanding at the end of academic year 2015/16.
1.4 Eleven RBWM primary age schools were inspected in the academic year 2015/16, of which, seven improved their rating, three remained the same and one was downgraded.

## SECONDARY AGE SCHOOLS (including middle schools for Ofsted purposes)

$1.562 \%$ of all RBWM secondary schools were rated good or outstanding at the end of the academic year 2015/16. RBWM is well below the national figure at the end of the 2015/16 academic year of 78\%.
1.6 One RBWM secondary age school was inspected in the academic year 2015/16. It improved its rating.

## OFSTED CHARTS

1.7 The Ofsted current ratings - RBWM schools (Data Pack Figure 1a) shows the schools and their ratings as at 31 August 2016.
1.8 The Ofsted status table (Data Pack Figure 1b) shows percentage of schools by category and type for the academic year 2015/16.
1.9 Data Pack Figure 1c is the same as Figure 1a but gives the latest information as at 8 February 2017. In the academic year 2016/2017, one secondary school and one first school have been inspected to date. They have both improved from requires improvement to good.

Data Figure 1a Offted Ratings RBWM Schools as at 310815

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | School | Overall effectiveness | Inspection Date | Report Date | Type of Establishment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Academy } \\ \text { Conversion date } \end{gathered}$ | Inspection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nursery | Cookham Nursery | Outstanding | 3 3rd October 2013 | 25 th October 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Curre |
|  | Maidenhead Nursery | Outstanding | 23 rdd January 2014 | 14 th February 2014 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | The Lawns Nursery | Outsanding | 2 2nd October 2014 | 23 rd October 2014 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
| Infant | Alwyn Infants | Good | 2 2th September 2013 | 17 th October 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Boyne Hill CE Infant and Nursery | Outstanding | 6th June 2013 | 27 th June 2013 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Burchetts Green CE Infants | Outstanding | 3rd June 2009 | 19 th June 2009 | Academy Converter | 1 1st December 201 | Historic Academy |
|  | Furre Platt Infants | Good | 2 2th September 2014 | 17th October 2014 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
| Junior | All Saints CE Junior | Good | 7 th March 2013 | 19 th June 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Courthouse Junior | Good | 2nd October 2012 | 24th October 2012 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Furre Platt Junior | Good | 26 th June 2014 | 21.15 July 214 | LA Maintained |  | current |
| Primary | Bisham CE Primary | Requires Improvement | 16 th March 2016 | 26 th April 2016 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Braywick Court |  |  |  | Free |  | Not Yet Inspected |
|  | Cheapside CE Primary | Outstanding | 21st March 2007 | 20 th April 2007 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Cookham Dean CE Primary | Good | 16 th May 2012 | 20 th June 2012 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Cookham Rise Primary | Good | 29th Januar/ 2013 | 27th February 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Curent |
|  | Datchet St Marr's Primary | Requires Improvement | Sth July 2016 | 9th September 2016 | Academy Converter | 1 Ist January 2012 | Current Academy |
|  | Holy Trinity CE Primary Cookham | Outstanding | 7 th October 2015 | 9th November 2015 | LA Maintained |  | Curent |
|  | Holy Trinity C E Primary Sunningdale | Good | 18 18th March 2014 | 24 th April 2014 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Holyport CEP Primary | Requires Improvement | 16th January 2014 | 7th February 2014 | Academy Converter | 1 1st June 2016 | Historic Academy |
|  | Knowl Hill CE Primary | Good | 13th January 2011 | 4th February 2011 | Academy Converter | 1st September 2014 | Historic Academy |
|  | Larchield Primary and Nursery | Good | 10th June 2015 | 3rd July 2015 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Lowbrook Primary | Outstanding | 29th January 2008 | February 2008 | Academy Converter | 1st April 2011 | Historic Academy |
|  | Oldfield Primary | Outstanding | 30 Sh September 2014 | 22nd October 2014 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Riverside Primary | Good | 15 th March 2016 | 18 th April 2016 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | South Ascot Village School | Good | 18 h November 2015 | 17 h December 2015 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary | Outstanding | 23 rd September 2009 | 1 15th October 2009 | LA Maintained |  | Curent |
|  | St Francis Catholic Primary | Outstanding | 1 15th January 2013 | 1st February 2013 | Academy Converter | 1 1s September 2015 | Historic Academy |
|  | St Luke's CE Primary | Good | 11th December 2013 | 22nd January 2014 | Academy Converter | 1 1st December 2014 | Historic Academy |
|  | St Mary's Catholic Primary | Good | 11 th February 2016 | 9 9th March 2016 | Academy Converter | 1 It July 2013 | Current Academy |
|  | St Michael's CEE Primary | Good | 13 th July 2016 | 22nd September 2016 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Waltham St lawrence Primary | Good | 12 th July 2012 | 11 th September 2012 | LA Maintained |  | Curent |
|  | Wessex Primary School | Good | 10th May 2014 | 8 St June 2014 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | White Waltham CE | Outstanding | 11 1th July 2007 | 1 10th September 2007 | Academy Converter | 1 1st September 2012 | Historic Academy |
|  | Wooollands Park Primary | Good | 21.1 November 2012 | 13 th December 2012 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Wraysbury Primary | Good | 16 th Januar 2013 | 6th February 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
| First | Alexander First | Good | 4th June 2013 | 26 th June 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Braywood CE First | Outstanding | 15 th February 2011 | 15 th March 2011 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Clewer Green CE Aided First | Good | 16 th July 2015 | 17 th September 2015 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Dedworth Green First | Good | 26 th February 2014 | 27-h March 2014 | Academy Converter | 1st May 2016 | Historic Academy |
|  | Eton Porny CE First | Requires Improvement | 24th November 2015 | 16 h December 2015 | Sponsored Academy | 1st February 2016 | Historic Academy |
|  | Eton Wick C F First | Requires Improvement | 2nd March 2016 | 24 h March 2016 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Hilltop Pirst | Outstanding | 27th May 2010 | 21 st June 2010 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Homer First | Good | 9 9th May 2013 | 7 th June 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | King's Court first | Good | 4 4th March 2015 | 27 th March 2015 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Oakield first | Good | 23 rd October 2014 | 17 th November 2014 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | StEdward's Catholic First | Outstanding | 2 26th February 2009 | 1 16th March 2009 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | The Queen Anne Royal free CE Controlled First | Good | 12th January 2016 | 12th Februar 2016 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | The Royal (Crown Aided) | Requires Improvement | 3 rdd December 2014 | 8 January 2015 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First | Good | Sth March 2013 | 27th March 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
| Middle secondary) Schools | Dedworth Middle | Good | 27th february 2013 | 21st March 2013 | Academy Converter | 1st May 2016 | Historic Academy |
|  | St Edward's Royal free Ecumenical Middle | Good | 25th June 2013 | 17th July 2013 | LA Maintained |  | current |
|  | St Peter's CE Midale | Inadequate | 14th November 2013 | 7 th February 2014 | Sponsored Academy | 1st November 2014 | Historic Academy |
|  | Trevelyan Middle | Requires Improvement | 22nd January 2015 | 13 th February 2015 | LA Maintained |  | Curent |
| SecondarySchool | Altwood Church of England | Requires Improvement | 30th April 2015 | 2nd June 2015 | Academy Converter | 1 1st July 2012 | Current Academy |
|  | Charters | Outstanding | 4 4t November 2009 | December 2009 | Academy Converter | 1 1st October 2012 | Historic Academy |
|  | Churchmead CE (VA) School | Good | 1st December 2015 | 6 th January 2016 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Cox Green | Good | 23 rd April 2015 | 19th May 2015 | Academy Converter | 1 1st December 2011 | Current Academy |
|  | Desborough College | Good | 11th September 2014 | 3rd October 2014 | Sponsored Academy | 1 1st October 2012 | Current Academy |
|  | Furre Platt | Requires Improvement | 11 th February 2015 | 6 th March 2015 | Academy Converter | 1 1st December 2011 | Current Academy |
|  | Holyport College |  |  |  | Free |  | Not Yet Inspected |
|  | Newlands Girls | Good | 2nd October 2012 | 24th October 2012 | Academy Converter | 1 1st October 2015 | Historic Academy |
|  | The Windsor Boys' | Requires Improvement | 8th May 2013 | 6 th June 2013 | Academy Converter | 1 1st March 2015 | Historic Academy |
|  | Windsor Giris' | Outstanding | 9th May 2013 | 7th June 2013 | Academy Converter | 1st March 2015 | Historic Academy |
| Special | Manor Green | Good | 6th March 2013 | 28 th March 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |
|  | Forest Bridge |  |  |  | Free |  | Not Yet Inspected |
| AP | RBWM Alternative Learning Provision (RISE) | Good | 4th June 2013 | 26 th June 2013 | LA Maintained |  | Current |



$\%$ of RBWM Children in care at Outstanding/Good Schools
of pupils eligle for FSM in RBWM Schools

| Date | All | Primary | Secondary | All | Primary | Secondary | All | Primary | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.06.2016 | 81\% | 83\% | 69\% | 80\% | 100\% | 40\% | 100\% | 100\% | /a |
| 10.06.2016 | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 10\% |

otal Schools
otal Schools

Stats Neighbour LAs are Bracknell Forest, Bucks, Cambridgeshire, Hants, Herts, Oxon, Surrey, Trafford, West Berks and Wokinghan Grey cells give national data by school type South East comprises of 19 LAs
sis have not yet been inspected (these are not included in the figures)

There have been 12 inspections this academic year, and 8 schools improved Ofsted rating, 3 remained the same and 1 was downgraded.
RBWM has fewer schools Good/Outstanding when compared to the latest Ofsted national picture ( $89 \%$ on 31.08 .16 )




Stats Neighbour LAs are Bracknell Forest, Bucks, Cambridgeshire, Hants, Herts, Oxon, Surrey, Trafford, West Berks and Wokingham Grey cells give national data by school type
We have 66 schools including 3 Free schools which have not yet been inspected (these are not included in the figures)
Key Headlines
86\% of RBWM pupils attend Good/Outstanding Schools
RBWM has a lower percentage of schools Good/Outstanding when compared to the latest Ofsted national picture ( $89 \%$ on 31.08 .16 )


## SECTION 2-OVERALL EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

## SUMMARY

2.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is a high achieving local authority for educational attainment.
2.2 Chart 2a shows that pupils outperformed national at all national assessment stages except KS5. The figures by the RBWM blocks give our ranking out of the 150 LAs which have educational data.

Chart 2a


Source DfE Statistical first release academic 2015-16
Data Pack Figure 2a summarises Educational Attainment by Key Stage and School. It also includes the Ofsted rating as at 31 August 2016.

Educational Attainment by Key Slage and School




- Siminiar Schools are those which have asimiar $K$ KSt Average Points Scorre


## Section 3 - Primary attainment and progress

This section summarises the attainment of Borough pupils in primary education for each national curriculum assessment stage.

## Early years

3.1 The early years foundation stage profile (EYFSP) requires practitioners to make a best fit assessment of whether children are emerging, expected or exceeding against each of the new 17 early learning goals (ELGs). Children have been deemed to have reached a good level of development (GLD) in the new profile if they achieve at least the expected level in the ELGs in the prime areas of learning (personal, social and emotional development; physical development; and communication and language) and in the specific areas of mathematics and literacy.

- Indicators from the early years foundation stage (EYFS) show the proportion of pupils attaining the DFE's definition of 'a good level of development' in RBWM for 2016 was 74\%.
- The attainment of pupils in the EYFS this year outperformed pupils nationally by five percentage points and RBWM was $5^{\text {th }}$ when ranked against its ten statistical neighbours.
- This result placed us equal $16^{\text {th }} \mathrm{LA}$ in England.
- Pupils may be aged anything between still 4 and nearly 6 when assessed at the end of the reception year. The differing age of pupils can have a marked effect on their level of development.


## Phonics

3.2 In 2012, the government introduced a new statutory phonics screening check for all children in Year 1. The purpose of the check is 'to confirm whether each child has learnt phonic decoding to an age-appropriate standard'. The test is repeated in Year 2 for those that did not meet the required standard in Year 1.

- In RBWM for 2016, 81\% of pupils reached the required standard in phonic decoding, which was equal to the national result and placed us joint $58^{\text {th }}$. When compared with our Statistical Neighbours, RBWM came $7^{\text {th }}$.
- However, the RBWM result for those gaining the required standard in phonic decoding by the end of year 2 was very encouraging at $94 \%$, 3 percentage points higher than the national average and placing us joint $6^{\text {th }}$. When compared with our Statistical Neighbours, RBWM came $4^{\text {th }}$.


## Key Stage 1 (KS1)

3.3 KS1 pupils are those aged $5-7$ in years 1 and 2. For 2016 assessment, however, pupils have been following the new national curriculum and have also been assessed without recourse to the old curriculum levels and sub-levels. Instead, there is now an expected standard, higher than the previous Level 2, in place. This judgement is arrived at through a combination of reading, maths and grammar, punctuation and spelling tests and the teacher's own assessment of how well the child is operating.

## PLEASE NOTE - because of this change, it is not possible to compare previous years' performance with 2016

- Even with a new curriculum and assessment process, there continues to be an above average performance at KS1 in the core subjects of Reading ( $80 \%$ ), Writing ( $72 \%$ ) and Maths ( $78 \%$ ), with RBWM remaining above national results by approximately 6 percentage points in each case. This placed RBWM joint $6^{\text {th }}$, joint $11^{\text {th }}$ and joint $12^{\text {th }}$ respectively.
- When compared with our Statistical Neighbours, RBWM comes 1st in Reading and Writing and 2nd in Maths.
- Looking at those pupils achieving higher than the expected standard, RBWM is a top 5 local authority nationally in all 3 subjects and came 1st in Writing and Maths and 2nd in Reading when compared with our statistical neighbours.
- RBWM was externally moderated by the Standards and Testing Agency (STA) in 2016.

KS1 reading

Chart 3a - Percentage of pupils attaining the expected standard or above in KS1 Reading (previous years L2+)


### 3.4 KS1 writing

PLEASE NOTE - because of the changes to both the national curriculum and the assessment process, it is not possible to compare previous years' performance with 2016

Chart 3b-Percentage of pupils attaining the expected standard or above in KS1 Writing (previous years L2+)


## KS1 mathematics

PLEASE NOTE - because of the changes to both the national curriculum and the assessment process, it is not possible to compare previous years' performance with 2016.

Chart 3c Percentage of pupils attaining the expected standard or above in KS1 Maths (previous years L2+)


## Key Stage 2 (KS2)

3.5 KS2 pupils are ages 7-11 in Years 3-6. Prior to 2016, the national expected standard for KS2 is level 4. For 2016 and beyond, the new national expected standard is higher, being based on the new national curriculum and also an entirely different system of assessment which no longer uses the old levels and sub-levels. For these reasons, it is not possible to compare previous years' performances with 2016.
Even with a new curriculum and assessment process, there continues to be an above average performance at KS2 in the combined core subjects of Reading Writing and Maths (59\%), with RBWM remaining above the national result by approximately 6 percentage points. This placed RBWM joint $24^{\text {th }}$ in the country and means that we are ranked as a top $20 \%$ attaining authority (see Chart $3 e$ below). When compared to our Statistical Neighbours, we are $3^{\text {rd }}$ among the group of 11 LAs.
Because the expected standard has been raised in 2016, the percentage of pupils achieving above the expected standard in reading, writing and maths was only $5 \%$ nationally. RBWM achieved $8 \%$, placing the Royal Borough equal $10^{\text {th }}$ nationally and $3^{\text {rd }}$ amongst our Statistical Neighbours.

## KS2 Reading Writing and Mathematics

PLEASE NOTE - because of the changes to both the national curriculum and the assessment process, it is not possible to compare previous years' performance with 2016

Chart 3d - Percentage of pupils attaining the expected standard or better at KS2 in Reading, Writing and Maths combined measure (previous years at Level 4+)


Chart 3e-KS2 Attainment rankings for Reading, Writing and Maths combined measure 2012-2016 (out of 150 Local Authorities)


## KS1-2 Progress

3.6 Until 2015, the national expectation of progress between KS1-2 progress was 2 levels (e.g. from level 2 to level 4).
However, from 2016, a new assessment process is in place which does not rely on KS2 levels and sub-levels.

Instead each child's exam mark is given a scaled score and these are compared with the average scaled score for their own KS1 prior attainment group. If a child has performed better than their group's average, they will gain a POSITIVE score - if they do less well than the average they gain a NEGATIVE score.

The national average rate of progress is deemed to be zero and therefore a positive score indicates that the pupils concerned have made better progress than the national average. Typically, most schools and almost all LAs will score between +5 and -5 in each of the 3 main subjects.

The Confidence Interval (CI), shown in brackets, measures how much variation there could have been to the result on another occasion. If, when the Cl is both subtracted and added, the progress range remains greater than zero, the score is deemed to be statistically significantly HIGHER than the national. However, if, when the Cl is both subtracted and added, the progress range remains less
than zero, the score is deemed to be statistically significantly LOWER than the national.

Therefore, in reading, RBWM has made significantly higher progress than national and significantly lower progress in writing (See Table 3a below).

## Table 3a - KS1 to KS2 Progress

| 2016 pupils progress score vs <br> national average progress | Reading | Writing | Maths |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RBWM | 0.4 | -0.8 | -0.1 |
| (CI in brackets) | $(+/-0.3)$ | $(+/-0.3)$ | $(+-0.3)$ |
| Progress range | 0.7 to 0.1 <br> Sig. + | -0.5 to -1.1 <br> Sig. - | 0.2 to -0.4 |

Source DfE SFR 2016

Chart 3f - KS2 Progress measure rankings for Reading, Writing and Maths 2012-2016 (out of 150 LAs)


| School Name | $\underset{\substack{\text { OFSTED } \\ \text { Insection as at } \\ 311.08 .15}}{\text { Ot }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORSTED } \\ \text { Inspection as at } \\ 31.08 .16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Schumiar } \\ \text { Schools } \\ \text { Ranking 2016 } \\ \text { (out of 125) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexander First | Good | Good |  |  |
| All Saints CE Junior | Good | Good | 76 | 102.0 |
| Alwy Intants | Good | Good |  |  |
| Bisham CE Primary |  | Requires Imp. | $61 /$ | 104.6 |
| Boyne Hill CE Intant and Nursery | Outstanding | Outstanding |  |  |
| Braywick Court free School |  |  |  |  |
| Braywood CEE First | Oustanding | Outstanding |  |  |
| Burchets Green CE Intants | Outstanding | Outstanding |  |  |
| Cheapside CE Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding | 36/125 | 104.1 |
| clewer Green CE Aided First | Good | Good |  |  |
| Cookham Dean CE Primary | Good | Good | 33/125 | 105.8 |
| Cookham Rise Primary | Good | Good | 107/125 | 101.2 |
| Courthouse Junior | Good | Good | 78/125 | 103.2 |
| Datchet St Mary's Primary | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. | 55/125 | 100.9 |
| Dedworth Green First | Good | Good |  |  |
| Dedworth Midale | Good | Good | na | 99.9 |
| Eton Porny CE First | dequate | Requires Imp. |  |  |
| Eton Wick CE First | Good | Requires Imp. |  |  |
| Furze Platt Intants | Good | Good |  |  |
| Furze Platt Junior | Good | Good | 9/125 | 105.6 |
| Hillop First | Outstanding | Outstanding |  |  |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Cookham | Requires Imp. | Outstanding | 14/125 | 104.7 |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Sunningdale | Good | Good | 36/125 | 105.6 |
| Holyport CE Primary | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. | 70/125 | 103.0 |
| Homer First | Good | Good |  |  |
| King's Court First | Good | Good |  |  |
| Knowl Hill CE Primary | Good | Good | 4/125 | 105.6 |
| Larchield Primary and Nursery | Good | Good | 9/125 | 103.2 |
| Lowbrook Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding | 1/125 | 110.7 |
| Oakield first | Good | Good |  |  |
| Oldifield Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding | 5/125 | 107.6 |
| Riverside Primary | Requires Imp. | Good | 110/125 | 100.3 |
| South Ascot Village School | Requires Imp. | Good | ${ }^{34 / 125}$ | 102.8 |
| St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding | ${ }^{32 / 125}$ | 106.1 |
| St Edward'' Catholic First | Outstanding | Outstanding |  |  |
| St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Midale | Good | Good | 77/125 | 104.3 |
| St francis Catholic Primary | Oustanding | Outstanding | $40 / 125$ | 103.9 |
| St Luke's CE Primary | Good | Good | 29/125 | 102.2 |
| St Mary's Catholic Primary | Good | Good | $66 / 125$ | 103.2 |
| St Michael's CE Primary | Requires Imp. | Good | 79/125 | 104.9 |
| St Peter's CE Midalle |  | jate | na | 104.2 |
| The Queen Anne Royal Free CEF Fist | Good | Good |  |  |
| The Royal (Crown Aided) | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp.* |  |  |
| Trevelyan Middle | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. | na | 103.3 |
| Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First | Good | Good |  |  |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary | Good | Good | $58 / 125$ | 103.7 |
| Wessex Primary School | Requires Imp. | Good | ${ }^{84 / 125}$ | 103.0 |
| White Waltham CE | Outstanding | Outstanding | 16/125 | 105.8 |
| Woodlands Park Primary | Good | Good | $43 / 125$ | 102.6 |
| Wraysbury Primary RBWM | Good | Good | $46 / 125$ | 101.1 |
| National |  |  |  |  |

*Furze Plats subsequently rated Good (Sep 2016)
. The Royal subsequenty rated Good (Nov 2016) $^{2}$



| KS1\% L2+ (ages 6-7) |  |  |  |  |  |  | KS1 \% meeting age related expectations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 NOR | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { Rdg } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & \text { Wtg } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{M}{2014}$ | $\underset{\substack{2015 \\ \text { Rdg }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \mathbf{W T g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 2015 \\ \text { Ma } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{2016 \\ \text { Rdg }}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 2016 \\ \mathrm{Wtg} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { Ma }}{\substack{2016}}$ |
| 26 | ${ }_{7}$ | ${ }^{73}$ | ${ }_{9}$ | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }_{93}$ | ${ }_{93}$ | 89 | 50 | 65 |
| 99 | 95 | 92 | 99 | 95 | 94 | 100 | ${ }_{81}$ | 67 | 77 |
| 10 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ${ }^{86}$ | ${ }^{86}$ | ${ }^{86}$ | 70 | ${ }^{40}$ | 60 |
| 60 | 95 | 95 | ${ }_{98}$ | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }^{88}$ | 95 | ${ }_{80}$ | ${ }^{83}$ | 75 |
| 27 | 97 | 93 | 97 | 93 | ${ }_{93}$ | ${ }_{93}$ | 89 | 82 | ${ }^{85}$ |
| 22 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ${ }_{86}$ | 82 | 82 |
| 16 | 100 | ${ }_{94}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ${ }^{88}$ | ${ }_{81}$ | ${ }_{81}$ |
| 60 | 98 | 95 | 100 | 97 | 90 | 98 | 75 | 60 | ${ }^{85}$ |
| 27 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 96 | ${ }_{93}$ | ${ }_{85}$ | 89 |
| 29 | 97 | 97 | 100 | ${ }_{90}$ | ${ }_{90}$ | 87 | 69 | ${ }_{55}$ | 66 |
| 27 | 77 | 80 | 83 | 83 | 87 | ${ }^{83}$ | 67 | ${ }^{37}$ | 52 |
| 29 | 87 | 87 | ${ }^{90}$ | 100 | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }^{93}$ | 76 | 52 | 72 |
| 24 | 79 | 57 | 93 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ${ }_{6}$ | 54 | 67 |
| 30 | 96 | 88 | 100 | 83 | 83 | 90 | 70 | 43 | 57 |
| 90 | ${ }^{94}$ | ${ }^{90}$ | ${ }_{98}$ | 91 | 90 | 96 | ${ }^{73}$ | ${ }^{69}$ | 71 |
| 44 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 80 | 73 |
| 31 | 97 | 94 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 94 | 87 |
| 30 | ${ }^{93}$ | 90 | 97 | 100 | 93 | 97 | 80 | 73 | 73 |
| 60 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 85 | 85 | 88 |
| 44 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 73 | 68 | 68 |
| 45 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 89 | 87 | 91 |
| 20 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 95 | 75 | 90 |
| 30 | 92 | 92 | 100 | 87 | 77 | 90 | 77 | 63 | 70 |
| 60 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 58 | 98 | 81 | 95 | 95 | 92 | 90 | 91 | 85 | ${ }^{83}$ |
| 60 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 95 | 92 | 97 | 80 | 68 | 78 |
| 30 | 87 | 81 | 84 | 83 | 60 | 89 | 67 | 63 | 63 |
| 31 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 85 | 83 | 88 | 81 | 71 | 77 |
| 60 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 93 | 90 | 97 | 83 | 73 | 82 |
| 58 | 95 | 89 | 98 | 93 | 90 | 100 | 85 | ${ }_{90}$ | 91 |
| 31 | 93 | 93 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 87 | 87 | 84 |
| 43 | 85 | 83 | 95 | 89 | 78 | 91 | 77 | 61 | 72 |
| 42 | ${ }_{98}$ | 91 | 98 | 98 | 89 | 98 | 76 | 76 | 74 |
| 30 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 70 | ${ }_{9}$ |
| 29 | 100 | 93 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 100 | 69 | 66 | 79 |
| 20 | ${ }^{95}$ | 90 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 80 |
| 28 | 90 | 87 | 90 | 86 | 86 | 100 | 96 | 82 | 86 |
| 19 | 95 | 79 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 60 | 93 | 97 | 97 | 95 | 92 | 97 | 72 | 67 | 73 |
| 28 | 97 | 93 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 29 | 85 | 80 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 96 | 72 | 59 | 69 |
| 58 | 84 | 78 | 90 | 74 | 69 | 76 | 83 | 71 | 90 |
|  | 93 | 90 | 96 | 92 | 89 | 94 | 80 | 72 | ${ }^{78}$ |



- Similar Schools are those which have a similiar KS1 Average Points Score
 Above National - i.e. between 5 and 10 percentage points HIGHER th
In Line with National - -.e. within 5 percentage points of NATONAL
Below National i.i.e. between 5 and 10 percentage point oint NATONERL LOW NATIONAL

* Similar Schools are those which have a similar KS1 Average Points Score


## sources:

LA Progress Figures DFE SFR January 2017
School Figures from DFE 2016 Performance Tables
For 2016 onwards, Progress from KS1 to KS2 will be measured by comparing the Scaled Scores of every pupil according to their KS1 Grouping's
Average KS2 Scaled Score


Each School's Progress Score is an average of its pupils' positive and negative progress scores
. Schools with Progress Scores of less than -5 in reading and maths and -7 in writing are below the Floor Standards set by the DFE

## SECTION 4 - SECONDARY ATTAINMENT AND PROGRESS

## KEY STAGE 4 (KS4) - GCSEs and equivalent

4.1 KS4 pupils are ages $14-16$ in Years 10 and 11. At the end of this Key Stage pupils sit GCSE and vocational examinations.
4.2 There have been significant changes to the measurements for GCSEs in recent years.
4.3 Firstly, there were significant changes in the measurements for GCSEs in 2014. The results for 2014 and 2015 take into account the Wolf Review and the Early Entry Policy and are therefore not a like for like comparison with 2013 or earlier years. These changes combined to cause a decline in results both locally and nationally and included:-

- restricting the qualifications counted
- preventing any qualification from counting as larger than one GCSE,
- capping the number of non-GCSEs included in performance measures at two per pupil
- An early entry policy to only count a pupil's first attempt at a qualification.
- The move to Linear GCSEs, rather than modules which could be taken more than once.
4.4 Secondly, from 2016, DfE have substantially changed the top-line attainment measures for KS4. These changes include :-
- Removing the 5+ A*-C GCSE (including English and Maths) measure for accountability purposes, although this figure has been calculated for comparison purposes
- Changes to the English and Maths measure, to allow English literature to count towards English (in addition to English language and combined English)
- The English Baccalaureate (Ebacc) measure is retained.
- The introduction of the Attainment 8 measure, which looks at attainment across 8 subjects including English and Maths (both double counted), three Ebacc subjects and 3 other subjects (which can include additional Ebacc subjects or approved non-GCSEs).
This means that results for 2016 are also not directly comparable to previous years. The addition of English literature as an option means that results, both locally and nationally, would be expected to show a small increase in relation to previous years.


## English and Maths GCSE

## Chart 4a Percentage of Pupils attaining $A^{*}-C$ in both English and Maths GCSE



- Overall 59\% of pupils in England achieved English and Maths GCSE at grade C or above. State funded schools nationally achieved $63 \%$.
- The percentage of Royal Borough pupils attaining English and Maths GCSE at grade C or above is $72 \%$. This is well above the national figure of $63 \%$ and is above the statistical neighbour average of $68 \%$.
- $\quad$ The Royal Borough is $9^{\text {th }}$ LA on this measure.


## Attainment 8

4.5 Attainment 8 is a new measure. See Appendix A for a detailed description of how this is calculated. It is based on students' attainment measured across eight subjects: English and Maths (both double-weighted), three other English Baccalaureate subjects and three further approved subjects which can include vocational qualifications. For 2016 points are awarded for GCSEs which range from 8 points (for an $A^{*}$ ) to 1 point (for a G).
4.6 The average Attainment 8 score across RBWM was 53.1. This means that that the average GCSE grade for all pupils in the Borough, across the 8 included subjects was a C+. This compares to 50.1 (average grade C) for state-schools nationally.

## English Baccalaureate

4.7 The English Baccalaureate (EBacc) requires pupils to attain $A^{*}-C$ in English, maths, two sciences, a humanity (specifically history or geography) and a language.

## Table 4c English Baccalaureate

|  | Percentage of pupils A*-C GCSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | English | Maths | 2+ <br> Sciences | Humanities | Languages | English <br> Bacc |
| RBWM achieved | 78 | 70 | 59 | 51 | 35 | 32 |
| National achieved | 75 | 69 | 56 | 47 | 34 | 25 |
| RBWM entered | 97 | 98 | 87 | 77 | 50 | 44 |
| National entered | 97 | 97 | 87 | 74 | 49 | 40 |

## Source DfE SFR

- $\quad 44 \%$ of RBWM pupils were entered for all elements of the Ebacc in 2016, the same as 2015, above the national figure of $40 \%$, slightly up from 39\% in 2015.
- The England state-maintained pass rate for the Ebacc was $25 \%$, and RBWM $32 \%$ (up from $30 \%$ in 2015). RBWM was ranked $26^{\text {th }}$ best LA on this measure.


## KEY STAGE 2-4 PROGRESS

4.3. The previous progress measure of 3 levels of progress from Key Stage 2 to Key Stage 4 has been discontinued.
4.4. The new measure for progress is Progress 8 . See Appendix $A$ for a detailed explanation of how this is calculated.
4.5. A value of 0.0 means that progress is in-line with expectations given the starting points of the cohort. A score of -0.5 or below means the school is deemed 'below the floor', exposing them to challenges and interventions from
local or national government. A score of +1.0 or above exempts the school from an OFSTED inspection for a year and means that, on average, every pupil in the school got one grade higher in each of the Attainment 8 subjects than the national average for pupils with the same prior attainment.

- $\quad$ RBWM had an overall Progress 8 score of +0.16 . This means that on average RBWM pupils attained one grade higher in 1-2 subjects than pupils with equivalent prior attainment nationally. The confidence interval is +/-0.06, meaning that the Borough's result is significantly better than national and that there is a $95 \%$ certainty that the result lies between +0.10 and +0.22.


## RANKINGS

4.6 Datapack Chart 4b shows RBWM's ranking on a number of key attainment measures against other LAs. There are approximately 150 LAs with recorded data.

## Chart 4b Attainment Rankings


4.7 While the top-line attainment measure has changed (from $5+A^{*}-C$ including English and maths GCSEs) to Attainment 8 this year, RBWM's ranking compared to other Local Authorities has remained broadly similar. The Royal

Borough is within the top quintile of local authorities on each of these measures.
4.8 Datapack Chart 4c shows RBWM's ranking on pre-2016 and 2016 top-line progress measures against other LAs.

## Chart 4c Progress Rankings


4.9 The Royal Borough's ranking for the new Progress 8 measure is similar to the average ranking for English progress and maths progress previously. The Royal Borough's ranking for Progress 8 is within the top quintile of Local Authorities.

## SECONDARY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE TABLES

4.10 Data Pack Figure 4a shows secondary attainment by school.

- The Royal Borough has 6 schools assessed by DfE as 'above national average' progress using the new Progress 8 measure and 4 schools where progress is assessed as in line with national average. There are no schools with progress significantly below national average.
- In all RBWM schools except one, a higher proportion of pupils attained $A^{*}-C$ in both English and maths at GCSE than achieved Level 4+ (the then expected standard) in their SATS tests at the end of primary school.

Data Pack Figure 4a
Key Stage 4 School Performance Table Summary 2016

|  |  |  |  | Key Stage 4 Attainment |  |  |  |  | Key Stage 2-4 Progess |  |  |  | Destinations | Absence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Ofsted } \\ \text { Rating as at } \\ 31.08 .16 \end{array}$ | Cohort Number | Intake (KS2 <br> Reading, Writing \& Maths Level 4+) |  | English Bacc |  | Attainment 8 |  | Progress 8 |  |  |  | Pupils staying in education or going into employment (2014 leavers) | \% Overall absence 2014/15 |
|  |  |  | \% | \% | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Entered } \end{array}$ | \% <br> Achieved | Score | As Grade | Score | Range | DfE Descripton | Disadvantaged | \% | \% |
| Altwood | Requires imp. | 133 | 69 | 62 | 30 | 20 | 50.6 | C | -0.15 | -0.34 to +0.03 | Average | -0.32 | 97 | 5.3 |
| Charters | Outstanding | 245 | 75 | 78 | 47 | 35 | 56.9 | B- | 0.3 | +0.17 to +0.44 | Above average | -0.02 | 98 | 4.8 |
| Churchmead | Good | 87 | 61 | 69 | 29 | 22 | 51.0 | C | 0.20 | -0.05 to +0.46 | Average | +0.31 | 90 | 6.2 |
| Cox Green | Good | 146 | 67 | 74 | 40 | 29 | 54.6 | C+ | 0.20 | +0.02 to +0.37 | Above average | -0.01 | 91 | 5.0 |
| Desborough | Good | 64 | 56 | 64 | 33 | 20 | 48.1 | C- | -0.02 | -0.29 to +0.24 | Average | -0.49 | 96 | 4.7 |
| Furze Platt | Requires imp. (Good - Sep 2016) | 186 | 71 | 74 | 47 | 31 | 55.3 | B- | 0.26 | +0.10 to +0.42 | Above average | -0.18 | 96 | 4.1 |
| Newlands | Good | 181 | 82 | 88 | 52 | 70 | 58.5 | B | 0.42 | +0.25 to +0.58 | Above average | +0.19 | 98 | 3.8 |
| Windsor Boys' School | Requires imp. | 222 | 58 | 68 | 39 | 24 | 52.3 | C+ | 0.17 | +0.02 to +0.32 | Above average | -0.05 | 95 | 5.2 |
| Windsor Girls' School | Outstanding | 183 | 71 | 73 | 49 | 39 | 51.5 | C | 0.1 | -0.06 to +0.26 | Average | -0.09 | 95 | 5.6 |
| RBWM |  | 1470 | 69 | 72.4 | 44.1 | 31.6 | 53.0 | C+ | 0.16 | +0.11 to +0.22 | Above average | -0.12 | 95.0 | 4.8 |
| National 2016 (state funded) |  |  |  | 63 | 39.7 | 24.7 | 49.8 | C | -0.03 |  |  | -0.38 | 94.0 | 5.3 |

Source: Performance Tables 2016

## SECTION 5 - PROVISIONAL POST 16 ATTAINMENT

5.1. The way $16-18$ results are reported this year has changed due to a number of government reforms to the way schools and colleges are held accountable for their performance. There have been a number of reforms to the 2016 performance tables including

- the introduction of new performance measures
- changes to the vocational qualifications that count
- changes to the points assigned to grades
- new rules for how students are included in the measures
- new rules for how students are allocated to institutions


## A LEVEL RESULTS

5.2. There is a new points score system for $A$ levels, an $A^{*}$ now counts as 60 points, and a grade $E$ is given 10 points. This new system reduces the size of the gap between a fail ( 0 points) and the lowest pass grade, which was previously much greater than the gap between other grades. AS qualifications count as half this number of points. A student's 'best three A levels' is reported for the first time, while the 'average points score per student' measure has been removed.
5.3. A significantly higher proportion of RBWM students continue their education in school sixth forms to take A levels than is the case nationally, resulting in more lower-performing students in schools. Attainment comparisons with national school outcomes at A level should be viewed in that context.

## Table 5a - Key measures: A level cohort

| School/College name | Number of <br> students with A level exam entry | Progress Score | Average point score |  | Number of students in AAB/best 3 measure | Students Best 3 A levels |  | \% of A <br> level students achieving AAB+ including at least 2 facilitating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | per A level entry expressed as a grade | per A level entry |  | Grade | Point score |  |
| England - all schools and colleges |  |  | C+ | 31.79 |  | C+ | 34.97 | 17.0\% |
| England -state funded schools and colleges |  |  | C | 30.44 |  | C+ | 33.79 | 13.9\% |
| RBWM (excludes BCA) |  |  | C | 30.20 |  | C+ | 33.75 | 15.5\% |
| RBWM Rank |  |  |  | 65th |  |  | 65th | =47th |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aitwood CafE Secondary School | 54 | -0.28 | D+ | 24.07 | 25 | C | 29.47 | 4.0\% |
| BCA | 27 | -0.24 | D+ | 23.98 | 11 | D+ | 23.33 | 0.0\% |
| Charters School | 225 | +0.17 | C+ | 32.77 | 155/161 | C+ | 34.91 | 20.0\% |
| Cox Greeo School | 53 | -0.04 | c. | 25.22 | 18 | C+ | 33.89 | 16.7\% |
| Desboruagh College | 97 | +0,18 | C. | 26.35 | $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | C. | 27.90 | 8.7\% |
| Furze Platt Senior School | 143 | +0.05 | C | 29.66 | 123/124 | C+ | 32.90 | 15.4\% |
| Newlands Girls' School | 88 | +0.06 | B- | 35.61 | 67 | 8 | 39.10 | 22.4\% |
| The Windsor Boys' School | 118 | +0.04 | C | 30.79 | 70 | B- | 36.00 | 18.6\% |
| Windsor Girls' School | 100 | -0.01 | c | 28.36 | 65 | C+ | 32.10 | 6.2\% |

Source: DfE Performance Tables
5.4. The average point score per A level entry for a student's best 3 A Levels expressed as a grade for the Borough was C+. The LA ranks $65^{\text {th }}$ on this
measure. The associated point score of 33.75 is in line with the state funded national figure of 33.79.

- The proportion of RBWM A level students achieving grades AAB or better, including two or more facilitating subjects was $15.5 \%$, above the national state funded figure of $13.9 \%$. Both figures are significantly higher than last year since this reformed measure now includes only students that are on A level programmes and it excludes applied A levels. RBWM ranks $34^{\text {th }}$ on this measure.
- A new progress measure by institution has been published for the first time. The scores are calculated by comparing the A-level results of students at this school or college with the A level results of students in schools and colleges across England who started with similar results at the end of Key Stage 4. A score above zero means students made more progress, on average, than students across England who got similar results at the end of key stage 4. A negative progress score does not mean students made no progress, or the school or college has failed, rather it means students in this school or college made less progress than other students across England with similar results at the end of Key Stage 4.
- For A levels, Desborough and Charters have the progress measure rated 'above national', Altwood was 'below national'. All other schools are in line with national progress. Note, however that if a school has over/under performed at GCSE, this may impact the value added in 6th form. In particular, if students have produced excellent results at GCSE, performing at A level in line with the average for such high-performing students would still be a very good result.


## VOCATIONAL RESULTS

5.5. The recommendations from the Wolf Review of Vocational Training take effect for the first time in 2016. Vocational attainment is no longer reported, instead attainment for students studying applied general and technical qualifications are reported separately. Applied general qualifications are level 3 (advanced) qualifications that provide broad study of a vocational subject area e.g. a level 3 certificate/diploma in business or applied science. Tech level qualifications are level 3 qualifications for students wishing to specialise in a technical occupation e.g. a level 3 diploma in construction or bricklaying. Only vocational qualifications that are on the approved list will be included.

Table 5c - Key measures: Vocational cohort

| School/College name | Numher of students with tech level esam entry | Average result |  | Numher of students with applied general exam entry | Progress score | Average result |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Doint score | expressed as a grade |  |  | Point score | espressed as a grade |
| England - all schools and colleges | NA | 30.77 | Dist. |  |  | 34.69 | Dist |
| England - state funded schools and colleges | NA | 30.76 | Dist- |  |  | 34.66 | Oist. |
| REWM (excludes BCA) | NA | 29.55 | Merat |  |  | 33.97 | Dist- |
| Altwood Cofe Secondary School | 9 | 50.00 | Dist* | 20 | -0.04 | 33.48 | Dist |
| BCA | 182 | 27.19 | Merit+ | 99 | -0.46 | 29.00 | Merit+ |
| Charters School | 21 | 34.29 | Dist | 64 | -0.21 | 32.65 | Dist- |
| Cox Green School | 1 | SUPP | Supp | 19 | 0.96 | 46.67 | Dist* |
| Desborough College | 23 | 40.86 | Dist + | 35 | 0.66 | 40.21 | Dist+ |
| Furze Platt Senior School |  |  |  | 11 | 0.51 | 45.00 | Dist', |
| Newlands Girls' School |  |  |  | 11 | 1.25 | 49.29 | Dist* |
| The Windsor Boys' School | 3 | SUPP | SUPP | 38 | -0.17 | 31.70 | Dist- |
| Windsor Giris' School | 10 | 37.60 | Dist+ | 22 | -0.05 | 35.60 | Dist |

Source : DfE performance tables

- The average point score per technical qualification expressed as a grade for the Borough was Merit+ below the national state funded average of Dist-.
- The average point score per applied general qualification expressed as a grade for the Borough was Dist- just below the national state funded average of Dist.
- A new progress measure by institution has been published for the first time. The scores are calculated by comparing the tech level results of students at this school or college with the tech level results of students in schools and colleges across England who started with similar results at the end of Key Stage 4. A score above zero means students made more progress, on average, than students across England who got similar results at the end of key stage 4. Newlands and Cox Green are rated 'well above average', Desborough 'above average' while other schools are in line with national, however Charters and BCA are 'below average'.


## VALUE ADDED - A LEVEL

5.6 Schools also use ALPS analysis for value-added information for A level results. ALPS data only includes students that have taken at least 2 A levels. ALPS reports include a Quality Indicator that measures actual UCAS points gained against expected points (given GCSE prior attainment). The ALPS grades range from 1 (Outstanding) to 9 (Poor).
5.7 Schools achieving an ALPS Quality Indicator of 3 or lower are in the top $25 \%$ of schools nationally for value-added. Two of the Borough's sixth forms fall into this category in 2016. Schools achieving a value-added score of between 4 and 6 are in-line with the middle $50 \%$ of schools nationally. Five of RBWM's sixth forms fall into this category. Schools achieving a value-added score of 7 or above are in the bottom $25 \%$ of schools nationally for value-added. One of RBWM's sixth forms currently falls into this category, scoring a 7. As a whole, RBWM is rated a 4 on this measure, classified by ALPS as 'very good'.

Table 5d ALPS : A level value-added

| School | Student Number | Average GCSE score of A level Students | Alevel Grades on Target | ALPS Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charters School | 171 | 6.16 | 76.8 | 278 |
| The Windsor Boys' School | 78 | 6.11 | 74.7 | 3 |
| Furze Platt School | 130 | 6.1 | 71.9 | 4.56 |
| Newlands Girls' School | 72 | 6.47 | 73.9 | 4.33 |
| Windsor Girls' School | 70 | 5.97 | 70.7 | 4.33 |
| Cax Green School Acadeny | 30 | 5.91 | 68.8 | 4.67 |
| Desborough College | 54 | 5.64 | 72.1 | 4.78 |
| Altwood C of E School | 27 | 5.96 | 51.2 | 4.89 |

'The average GCSE score of A level students' shows the prior attainment of these students, where 8 represents all $A^{*}$ grades, 7 represents the equivalent of all A grades etc.
'A level Grades on target' reflects the percentage of A level results that met their ALPS target grade, which is that achieved by the top $25 \%$ of students with the same GCSE prior attainment.
'ALPS Score' is the ALPS Quality Indicator described in para 5.7.

## SECTION 6 - PEFORMANCE OF PUPIL GROUPS

## KEY

### 6.1 The following key is used in this section:



There are 152 Local Authorities, including City of London and Isles of Scilly. Data for these two LAs is omitted from many DfE tables, as numbers are too small to be reported.

Therefore, typically the Top Quintile represents the Top 30 Local Authorities and the Bottom Quintile the lowest 30 Local authorities. However for some measures, small numbers may be suppressed for LAs where there are small numbers of a particular grouping (e.g. for KS2 pupils with SEN EHC and Black pupils, the number of reported LAs is around 130; for KS4 Black pupils it is around 140 LAs). For these measures the quintiles have been adjusted accordingly.

## KEY STAGE 2

Table 6a Key Stage 2 : Reading+Writing+Maths

| Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pupils } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | \% attaining Level 4+ Reading+Writing+Maths |  | \% attaining expected standard Reading+Writing+Maths |  |  | LA Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | National 2016 | $+/-$ <br> National | 2016 |
| All | 1340 | 82 | 82 | 59 | 54 | 5 | =24th |
| Girls | 641 | 85 | 84 | 65 | 58 | 7 | $=12 \mathrm{th}$ |
| Boys | 699 | 80 | 81 | 54 | 50 | 4 | =36th |
| FSM | 95 | 68 | 58 | 27 | 36 | -9 | = 134th |
| Non-FSM | 1245 | 83 | 84 | 62 | 57 | 5 | =24th |
| Disadvantaged | 255 | 63 | 64 | 35 | 39 | -4 | =103rd |
| Non-Disadv | 1085 | 86 | 87 | 65 | 61 | 4 | =32nd |
| SEN | 198 | n/a | 84 | 15 | 16 | -1 | =74th |
| SEN - with EHC | 59 | n/a | 26 | 5 | 7 | -2 | =88th |
| Non-SEN | 1079 | 92 | 97 | 70 | 62 | 8 | =16th |
| Not ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Lang Eng | 208 | 87 | 82 | 51 | 52 | -1 | =74th |
| First Lang Eng | 1128 | 81 | 83 | 61 | 54 | 7 | =13th |
| Asian | 178 | 87 | 84 | 55 | 56 | -1 | =93rd |
| Black | 15 | X | 57 | 47 | 51 | -4 | =71st |
| Mixed | 108 | 82 | 83 | 65 | 56 | 9 | =17th |
| White | 1005 | 82 | 83 | 60 | 54 | 6 | =19th |

Source : DfE SFR

### 6.2 Table 6a above has attainment and rankings for Key Stage 2.

- The new 'expected standard' at KS2 is more rigorous than the previous Level $4+$ standard, so results for all pupil groups have fallen both nationally and locally.
- The proportion of pupils achieving the new 'expected standard' in the headline measure of Reading+Writing+Maths at Key Stage 2 is above national overall, but just below national for four of our vulnerable sub-groups i.e. Asian and English Not First language as well as both the SEN groups. In all four cases the percentage difference with the national is $1 \%$ point which equates to 1 or 2 pupils lower.
- Although the Black minority ethnic sub-group is lower than the national figure by $4 \%$ points, each one of the 15 pupils is worth more than $6 \%$ of the group so the group's score is within 1 pupil of the national score so may be seen as broadly in line with their national counterparts.
- The two groups which show a marked difference are the FSM and Disadvantaged groups and these are shown in more detail in the two separate sections below.
- $\quad$ The gap between RBWM girls and boys has increased this year from 3 to 11 percentage points this year (compared with a 8 percentage point gap at National).
- Pupils with English as their first language outperformed those for whom English was not their first language in RBWM by a 10 percentage points, a much higher gap than last year (1 percentage point) and national (2 percentage points).

KEY STAGE 4
6.3 Table 6b below has progress (Progress 8) and rankings for Key Stage 4.

- The Progress 8 result for the Royal Borough is above national progress for all pupils group except Asian pupils, Black pupils and pupils for whom English is not the first language. However for pupils in two of these groups (Asian and first language not English) the actual Progress 8 score was positive - i.e. these pupils made more progress than the average for all pupils with the same prior attainment.
- For all other groups, RBWM progress is within, or close to, the top $20 \%$ of Local Authorities.

Table 6b Key Stage 4 : Progress 8

| Group | Pupils 2016 |  |  |  | LA Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | National 2016 | +/- National | 2016 |
| All | 1342 | +0.16 | -0.03 | +0.19 | 21st |
| Girls | 493 | +0.25 | +0.11 | +0.14 | =28th |
| Boys | 545 | +0.08 | -0.17 | +0.25 | 18th |
| FSM | 87 | -0.28 | -0.46 | +0.18 | =31st |
| Non-FSM | 1255 | +0.19 | +0.04 | +0.15 | =24th |
| Disadvantaged | 227 | -0.12 | -0.38 | +0.26 | 25th |
| Non-Disadv | 1115 | +0.22 | +0.10 | +0.12 | =31st |
| SEN | 168 | -0.20 | -0.38 | +0.18 | =33rd |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SEN - with } \\ \text { EHC } \end{gathered}$ | 56 | -0.73 | -1.03 | +0.30 | =21st |
| Non-SEN | 1116 | +0.26 | +0.06 | +0.20 | =20th |
| Not $1^{\text {st }}$ Lang Eng | 167 | +0.32 | +0.39 | -0.07 | =120th |
| First Lang Eng | 1174 | +0.14 | -0.09 | +0.23 | =8th |
| Asian | 176 | +0.23 | +0.31 | -0.08 | =118th |
| Black | 20 | -0.10 | +0.17 | -0.27 | =124th* |
| Mixed | 85 | +0.44 | -0.04 | +0.48 | 2nd |
| White | 1038 | +0.13 | -0.09 | +0.22 | 18th |

Source : DfE SFR

- There is a marked gap between the progress of boys and girls both nationally and in the Royal Borough. However, the gap is narrower in RBWM, resulting in a higher ranking for RBWM boys.
- Progress for pupils with Special Educational needs (SEN) and SEN with an Educational Healthcare Plan (EHC) or statement is below that for pupils without SEN. However, in all cases, the RBWM group makes more progress than the equivalent National group.
- FSM and Disadvantaged pupils made less progress than their non-FSM/nonDisadvantaged counterparts. However, the LA rankings for all four groups were similar, at or close to top $20 \%$.
- The Progress 8 for both Asian pupils and pupils for whom English is not a first language was brought down by the relatively poor results for the 25 Mirpuri Pakistani students for whom English is not a first language. Results for the other main Asian groups (Indian and other Pakistani) were comparable to high Progress 8 results achieved nationally.
- The Progress 8 result for RBWM black pupils was pulled down by very poor results for one student. With such a small cohort, if the result for this student was omitted, the Progress 8 for this group would have been close to the national average for black pupils.


## ACHIEVEMENT BY ETHNICITY

6.4 Information on performance by ethnic main groups for all Key Stages is given in Data Pack Table 6c (at the end of this section).

- At KS2, however, the RBWM Asian group is worth looking into since it holds two sub-groups - Indian and Pakistani - who perform quite differently.
- The LA Indian group provisional score is $75 \%$ attaining the Expected standard or better, whereas the LA's Pakistani group score is $39 \%$. The latter has 97 pupils and the former just under 65 pupils. Nationally, the Indian group scored $65 \%$ and the Pakistani group 47\%, so RBWM's Pakistani group is underattaining in 2016. This is in contrast to what had been seen previously in 2014 and 2015 when the Pakistani group had been performing close to or slightly above the all pupils national average.
- Of the six schools who had 6 or more Pakistani pupils at KS2, four of them had $50 \%$ or fewer gaining the expected standard or better
All Saints Junior - 2 out of 9 (22\%)
Courthouse Junior - 3 out of 6 (50\%)
Dedworth Middle - 1 out of 8 (13\%)
Riverside Primary - 4 out of 24 (17\%)


## ACHIEVEMENT BY DISADVANTAGED/FSM PUPILS

6.5 Data comes from SFRs. The (larger) Disadvantaged cohort is shown where published (Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4); for other Key Stages FSM eligibility is used. Disadvantaged data by Local Authority is not published at Key Stage 5.
6.6 Chart 6a shows summary attainment data for FSM/Disadvantaged pupils at each Key Stage. Attainment results for the disadvantaged cohort at Key Stage 4 are in the Top 20\% of Local Authorities, but for other Key Stages they fall well below average. There is further detail in the following sections.

Chart 6a FSM/Disadvantaged attainment and ranking by Key Stage


## FREE SCHOOL MEALS (FSM)

6.7 All data comes from the DfE SFRs. FSM data relates to pupils eligible for FSM at the end of the relevant Key Stage, This data does not include FSM6 (pupils entitled to Free School Meals at some point in the last 6 years). Using FSMonly data enables like-for-like gap comparisons to be made over time. The numbers of FSM pupils in RBWM are relatively small and figures for that group can fluctuate significantly from year to year as a result of other factors.
6.8 The FSM data in Table 6d (see end of section 6) shows that:

- At Key Stages 1 and 2 the RBWM non-FSM/FSM gap has widened since 2015.
- At Key stage 4 the FSM gap is less than the FSM gap last year.
- FSM pupils underperform compared to non-FSM pupils in RBWM, Statistical Neighbours and Nationally in each year from 2013 to 2016.
- At KS2, our score of $27 \%$ placed us $134^{\text {th }}$ in the LA rankings, which is in the lowest $20 \%$ of local authorities and clearly the FSM / non-FSM gap of 35 percentage points is very large. However, it should also be noted that 10 of the 13 LAs with cohorts of fewer than 200 pupils failed to reach the national average of $36 \%$ (see Chart 6b). This group includes Bracknell Forest (23\%), W Berkshire (33\%), Wokingham (34\%), Richmond (33\%) and Kingston (35\%), the latter three being high attaining authorities like RBWM. All of these authorities have large gaps with Richmond having the largest at 37 percentage points.
- An authority which has scored very well with its small FSM group is the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. Their FSM group scored 59\% and their non-FSM group $72 \%$, making a gap of only $13 \%$ points.

Chart 6b - Percentage of 2016 KS2 Free School Meals Pupils (FSM) Reaching the Expected Standard or Above - Thirteen Smallest LA Cohorts incl. National


## DISADVANTAGED PUPILS

6.8 Disadvantaged pupils attract Pupil Premium (additional funding given to schools so that they can support their disadvantaged pupils and close the attainment gap between them and their peers).
6.9 Disadvantaged pupils comprise looked-after children, those eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) and those who had previously been eligible for Free School Meals any time in the preceding 6 years ('Ever 6 FSM' or FSM6).
6.10 School level data is shown, in Tables 6e (Key Stage 2) and $6 f$ (Key Stage 4), where this is published in the DfE performance tables, i.e. where the number of pupils in receipt of the Pupil Premium is six or more.

Table 6e Key Stage 2: Proportion achieving Reading, Writing \& Maths Expected standard by school and disadvantaged

| School | Disadvantaged Pupils |  | Other Pupils |  | ```% pt. GAP between dis- advantaged in school and National disadv.``` | \% pt. GAP between disadv. pupils in school and National for other pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% achieving | Number | \% achieving |  |  |
| All Saints | 16 | 31 | 49 | 55 | -8 | -29 |
| Cookham Rise | 8 | 25 | 19 | 42 | -14 | -35 |
| Courthouse | 20 | 30 | 76 | 64 | -9 | -30 |
| Datchet St Mary's | 6 | 50 | 15 | 47 | +11 | -10 |
| Dedworth Middle | 34 | 21 | 88 | 39 | -18 | -39 |
| Holy Trinity, Cookham | 6 | 33 | 24 | 75 | -6 | -27 |
| Larchfield | 8 | 38 | 17 | 94 | -1 | -22 |
| Riverside | 14 | 21 | 14 | 21 | -18 | -39 |
| St Edward's Middle | 8 | 25 | 111 | 68 | -14 | -35 |
| St Francis | 6 | 33 | 25 | 80 | -6 | -27 |
| St Luke's | 8 | 63 | 19 | 53 | +24 | +3 |
| St Peter's Middle | 10 | 50 | 45 | 60 | +11 | -10 |
| South Ascot Village | 6 | 33 | 19 | 74 | -6 | -27 |
| Trevelyan Middle | 23 | 57 | 81 | 62 | +18 | -3 |
| Waltham St L | 6 | 67 | 13 | 62 | +28 | +7 |
| Wessex | 12 | 8 | 47 | 68 | -31 | -52 |
| Wraysbury | 7 | 14 | 29 | 48 | -25 | -46 |
| RBWM | 255 | 35 | 1085 | 65 | -4 | -25 |
| NATIONAL |  | 39 |  | 60 | n/a | n/a |

- At Key Stage 2, the gap between RBWM disadvantaged pupils and other pupils is 30 percentage points, wider than the National gap of 21 percentage points.
- RBWM disadvantaged pupils under-performed against their national counterparts by 4 percentage points (see Chart 6 c below). As you will notice from the chart below, none of the ten authorities with cohorts below 400 pupils scored higher than the national average for disadvantaged pupils (i.e. 39\%).
- However, within this group of ten LAs there are no fewer than four high attaining authorities on the same measure for all pupils i.e. Richmond (67\%), Wokingham (61\%) Kingston (60\%) and RBWM (59\%). All four LAs lie within the top 20\% for attainment for all pupils.
- Table 6 e above shows those 17 schools whose disadvantaged pupils numbered 6 or more and those in bold fell below the national average for disadvantaged pupils.
- The non-disadvantaged (known as Other) pupils within RBWM out-performed their national counterparts by 4 percentage points ( $65 \%$ vs $61 \%$ ).


## Chart 6c - Percentage of 2016 KS2 Disadvantaged Pupils Reaching the Expected Standard or Above - Ten Smallest LA Cohorts incl. National



Table 6 f Key Stage 4: Progress 8 results by school and Disadvantaged

| School name |  | Disadvantaged pupils |  | All pupils |  | Difference from <br> National |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Progress <br> 8 | Number | Progress <br> 8 | Disadvant <br> -aged <br> pupils | All pupils |  |
|  |  | -0.38 | 540689 | -0.03 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| RBWM | 243 | -0.12 | 1470 | +0.16 | +0.26 | +0.19 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altwood | 22 | -0.32 | 133 | -0.15 | +0.06 | -0.12 |  |
| Charters | 26 | -0.02 | 245 | +0.30 | +0.36 | +0.33 |  |
| Churchmead | 26 | +0.31 | 87 | +0.20 | +0.69 | +0.23 |  |
| Cox Green | 20 | -0.01 | 146 | +0.20 | +0.37 | +0.23 |  |
| Desborough | 8 | -0.49 | 64 | -0.02 | -0.11 | +0.01 |  |
| Furze Platt Senior | 35 | -0.18 | 186 | +0.26 | +0.20 | +0.29 |  |
| Newlands | 28 | +0.19 | 181 | +0.42 | +0.57 | +0.45 |  |
| The Windsor Boys' | 35 | -0.05 | 222 | +0.17 | +0.33 | +0.20 |  |
| Windsor Girls' | 32 | -0.09 | 183 | +0.10 | +0.29 | +0.13 |  |

Source : DfE Performance Tables

- Both RBWM disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils have outperformed their national equivalents.
- Only one school (with a cohort of just 8 disadvantaged pupils) has a Progress 8 score for disadvantaged pupils below national.


## CHILDREN IN CARE (CiC) ACHIEVEMENT

6.11 While data for Children in care is published by DfE at Local Authority level (excluding Key Stage 5 results), in the case of RBWM, the data is suppressed because of the small numbers of pupils. The RBWM CiC results have therefore been obtained directly from Children's Services.
6.12 The data in columns 1 and 3 of Table 6 g relates to children who have been in the care of the Royal Borough for 12 months or more and were in RBWM schools at the time of the relevant Key Stage testing. The data relates to pupils in main stream schools, with the figures in brackets including those at the Special school. Italics indicate that previous years cannot be directly compared due to change in top-line measure for that key stage or significant change in methodology.

Table 6c - Key Stage Performance by Ethnicity
Data Pack Table6c

| KeyStage \&measures | RBWM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Mxed |  | Asian All |  | Incian |  | Pakistani |  | Chinese |  | Black |  | AII |  |
|  | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result |
| Early Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%ackieving good leved of development 2014 | 1204 | 68 | 108 | 61 | 198 | 65 | 85 | 72 | 98 | 59 | 5 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 1655 | 66 |
| \%achieving good level of development 2015 | 1198 | 76 | 114 | 77 | 220 | 72 | 92 | 86 | 102 | 61 | 5 | X | 12 | 67 | 1693 | 74 |
| \%achieving good level of development 2016 | 1181 | 76 | 130 | 74 | 251 | 75 | 109 | 86 | 112 | 64 | 7 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 19 | 56 | 1747 | 74 |
| KeyStage 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving L2+ Reading 2014 | 1157 | 94 | 128 | 91 | 227 | 93 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 91 | 5 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 93 |
| \%achieving L2+ Reading 2015 | 1173 | 95 | 133 | 95 | 233 | 95 | 82 | 98 | 132 | 93 | 7 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 19 | 95 | 1628 | 92 |
| \%achieving expected standard Reading 2016 | 1233 | 81 | 124 | 78 | 246 | 78 | 97 | 83 | 120 | 74 | 5 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 18 | X | 1671 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving L2+ Writing2014 | 1157 | 91 | 128 | 86 | 227 | 91 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 88 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 90 |
| \%achieving L2+ Writing2015 | 1173 | 91 | 133 | 94 | 233 | 89 | 82 | 96 | 132 | 83 | 7 | X | 19 | 89 | 1628 | 89 |
| \%achieving expected standard Witing 2016 | 1233 | 72 | 124 | 75 | 246 | 73 | 97 | 80 | 120 | 68 | 5 | X | 18 | 67 | 1671 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving L2+ Maths 2014 | 1157 | 97 | 128 | 95 | 227 | 94 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 94 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 96 |
| \%achieving L2+ Maths 2015 | 1173 | 95 | 133 | 95 | 233 | 95 | 82 | 99 | 132 | 93 | 7 | X | 19 | 95 | 1628 | 94 |
| \%achieving Expected standard Maths 2016 | 1233 | 79 | 124 | 81 | 246 | 77 | 97 | 89 | 120 | 69 | 5 | X | 18 | 67 | 1671 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KeyStage 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving R+W+ML4+2014 | 994 | 82 | 107 | 82 | 181 | 87 | 61 | 95 | 114 | 84 | 5 | x | 3 | X | 1343 | 82 |
| \%achieving R+W+ML4+2015 | 1036 | 83 | 107 | 83 | 174 | 84 | 64 | 94 | 92 | 79 | X | X | 23 | 57 | 1371 | 82 |
| \%achieving Expected standard R+W+M2016 | 1005 | 60 | 108 | 65 | 178 | 55 | 65 | 75 | 97 | 39 | 4 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 15 | 47 | 1340 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KeyStage 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving 5+ $A^{*}$-C(inc E+M) 2014 | 1192 | 63 | 94 | 67 | 174 | 54 | 50 | 74 | 103 | 48 | 6 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 35 | 63 | 1527 | 62 |
| \%achieving $5+A^{*}$-C (inc E+M) 2015 | 1171 | 66 | 104 | 62 | 192 | 62 | 57 | 74 | 111 | 56 | 4 | X | X | X | 1534 | 65 |
| \%achieving ${ }^{*}$-CE+MGCSE2016 | 1119 | 73 | 99 | 79 | 191 | 68 | 65 | 72 | 109 | 62 | 8 | 100 | 29 | 66 | 1471 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nb SFR by ethricity produced for KS5
x Data suppressed (small cohort size)
Italics show data not directly comparable with previous years

Table 6d - Key Stage Performance by Free School Meals

|  | EYFS: Good Level of Devt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 | $2015$ | $2016$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Hon } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSMM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSMO } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { FSap non FSMM }}{\substack{\text { FSM } \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cohor sire RaivM | 1732 | 131 | 1807 | 1721 | 121 | 1609 | 1693 | H0 | 1589 | 1747 | 104 | 1643 |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 56 | 35 | 57 | 65 | 40 | 6 | 75 | 56 | T5 | 74 | 4 | T6 | 22 | 28 | 19 | 32 |
| National | 49 | 33 | 62 | 60 | 45 | 64 | 51 | 69 | 65 | 69 | 54 | 72 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Stzistical Neighoour Average | 53 | 31 | 55 | 54 | 41 | 66 | 50 | 72 | 70 | 73 | 52 | 75 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 23 |


| KS1: Percentage Achieving Level $2+$ in Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 achieving expected standard in Reading |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2013 \\ \hline \text { Gap non FSiM } \\ \text { FSMM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2034 \\ \hline \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2015 \\ \hline \text { Gap noin FSTM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2016 \\ \hline \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | F8M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | F8M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | At | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hon } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cohor size Rewha | 1515 | 124 | 191 | T0A4 | 117 | 149 | T623 | t0a | 1520 | WF71 | 73 | 1558 |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 93 | 89 | 94 | 93 | 35 | 94 | 98 | 69 | 54 | 23 | 54 | 81 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 27 |
| Nationel | 89 | 79 | 91 | 90 | 30 | 92 | 90 | 82 | 92 | 74 | 60 | $\pi$ | 12 | 12 | 18 | 17 |
| Statistical Neightoul Average | 91 | 76 | 93 | 91 | 78 | 93 | 93 | 80 | 54 | 77 | 56 | 79 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 23 |


| KSI: Percentage Achieving Level 2* in Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ achieving expected standard in Writing |  |  |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {AlI }}$ | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSMM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | At | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSMM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gap non FSLD } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ |
| Cohorl site Re\#vM | 1515 | 124 | 191 | 15\%\% | 117 | 1429 | 1628 | 108 | 1520 | \%571 | 79 | 158 C |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 90 | 89 | 92 | 90 | 74 | 91 | 89 | 64 | 91 | 74 | 37 | 72 | 3 | 17 | 27 | 35 |
| National | 85 | 73 | 88 | 86 | 72 | 89 | 88 | $\pi$ | 50 | 6\% | 50 | 66 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 16 |
| Statistical <br> Neighbour PNerage | 87 | 70 | 89 | $8 \%$ | 73 | 90 | ${ }^{39}$ | 73 | 91 | 67 | 43 | 69 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 26 |


| K51: Percentage Achleving Level 2 + in Maths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S achieving expected standard in Maths |  |  | Gap non FSM <br> FSM | 2014 <br> $\substack{\text { Gap non } \\ \text { FSSM } \\ \text { FSM }}$ | 2015  <br> $\substack{\text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM }}$  | 2018$\substack{\text { Cap now FSM } \\ \text { FSM }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2074 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Al | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort size Rama | 1515 | 124 | 191 | 1866 | 117 | 449 | 1623 | 108 | 1520 | 1571 | 79 | 1592 |  |  |  |  |
| REWM | 95 | 90 | 05 | 96 | 31 | 6 | 94 | 77 | 05 | 73 | 52 | 72 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 27 |
| National | 31 | 84 | 53 | 92 | 85 | 54 | 33 | 86 | 34 | 73 | 58 | 75 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 17 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 93 | 83 | 95 | 94 | 83 | 58 | 34 | 34 | 56 | 75 | 53 | $\pi$ | 12 | 12 | 11 | 24 |


| KS2: Percentage achieving Reading, Writing and Maths L4* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5achieving expected standard in RWM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2074 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2075 |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Han } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AI | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSMM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSVM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non PSTM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gsp non FSNM } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cohorn sire REvM | 1275 | 90 | 1181 | 134 | 8 | 1256 | 1371 | 103 | 1265 | 1340 | 95 | 1245 |  |  |  |  |
| R6WM | 78 | 63 | 79 | 82 | 38 | 83 | 82 | 53 | 84 | 59 | 27 | 62 | 11 | 15 | 26 | 35 |
| National | 76 | 60 | 79 | 79 | 64 | 82 | 80 | 66 | 83 | 34 | 36 | 57 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 21 |
| $\square$ | 78 | 55 | 81 | 81 | 59 | 84 | 83 | 60 | 85 | 35 | 32 | 60 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 28 |


|  | KS4: Percentage of Pupils Achieving 5+ $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ C including English and Maths (pre Wolf Report and Early Entry Policy) |  |  | Percentage of P'upils Achieving $5+A^{\prime}$. C including English and Maths |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Pupils Achieving English and EAths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2074 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2076 |  | 2013 | 204 | 2015 | 2076 |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSMM } \end{aligned}$ | Ad | FSM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSM } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cap non FSM } \\ \text { FSYA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gap non FSM } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ |
| Colion size REving | 1615 | 124 | 7497 | 1527 | 102 | 1425 | 1535 |  |  | 1270 | 35 | 1315 |  |  |  |  |
| REWM | 68 | 48 | 70 | 52 | 34 | 64 | 65 | 39 | 68 | 72 | 51 | 74 | 22 | 30 | 28 | 23 |
| National | 51 | 38 | E5 | 57 | 34 | 61 | 57 | 33 | 61 | 53 | 39 | 61 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 64 | 31 | 66 | 63 | 30 | 66 | 64 | 31 | 66 | 69 | 37 | T1 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 34 |

All data is FSM Eligibitity (not FSM6) in line with OfE histonical published SFRs
All Data is from SFRs

Table 6 g - Key stage Performance by Children in Care

| Number of CiC pupils | KEY Stage \& measures | RBWM |  | National |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figures in brackets include Special School |  | CiC (inc special) | All | CiC | All |
|  | Early Years |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \% achieving good level of development 2013 | 100 | 55 | n/a | 52 |
| 4 | \% achieving good level of development 2014 | 25 | 66 | n/a | 60 |
| 2 | \% achieving good level of development 2015 | 50 | 73 | n/a | 66 |
| 2 | \% achieving good level of development 2016 | 100 | 74 | n/a | 69 |
|  | Key Stage 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2013 | 100(67) | 93 | 69 | 89 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2014 | 100 | 93 | 71 | 90 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2015 | - | 92 | 71 | 91 |
| 2 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Reading 2016 | 0* | 80 |  | 74 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2013 | 100(67) | 90 | 61 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2014 | 100 | 90 | 61 | 86 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2015 | - | 89 | 63 | 88 |
| 2 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Writing 2016 | 0* | 72 |  | 65 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2013 | 100(67) | 95 | 71 | 91 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2014 | 100 | 96 | 72 | 92 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2015 | - | 94 | 73 | 93 |
| 2 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Maths 2016 | 0 * | 78 |  | 73 |
|  | Key Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2013 | 100(67) | 90 | 63 | 86 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2014 | 100(80) | 92 | 68 | 88 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2015 | 75 | 92 | 71 | 89 |
| 6 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Reading 2016 | 50 | 71 |  | 66 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2013 | 50(33) | 84 | 55 | 78 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2014 | 100(80) | 88 | 59 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2015 | 75 | 89 | 61 | 87 |
| 6 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Writing 2016 | 50 | 74 |  | 73 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2013 | 50(33) | 88 | 59 | 85 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2014 | 100(80) | 89 | 60 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2015 | 50 | 87 | 64 | 87 |
| 6 | \% achieving Expected Standard in Maths 2016 | 50 | 73 |  | 70 |
|  | Key Stage 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | \% achieving 5EM 2013 (pre changes) | 50 | 68 | 15 | 61 |
| 4 | \% achieving 5EM 2014 | 25 | 62 | 12 | 57 |
| 6 (7) | \% achieving 5EM 2015 | 33(29) | 64 | 14 | 56 |
| 8 (11) | \% achieving new EM measure 2016 | 12.5 (9) |  |  |  |
|  | Key Stage 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2013 | 67 | 86 | n/a | 80 |
| 0 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2014 | - | 85 | n/a | 79 |
| 0 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2015 | - | 85 | n/a | 77 |
| 0 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2016 | - | n/a |  | n/a |

Source DfE SFRs/Performance Tables. RBWM CiC from Virtual school

* one of the 2 Yr 2 pupils did not take SATS due to being out of school; during SATS week

National CiC data is not published for Early Years or KS5; other Key stages to be published Mar 2017

## SECTION 7 - ABSENCE DATA

## BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

7.1 Absence data for the Borough, Statistical Neighbours and National level data is taken from DfE SFR and is summarised in Table 7a. It is for the autumn and spring terms of 2014/15 which is the latest 2 term national data set available.

Table 7a - Overall and persistent absence

|  | Overall Absence (\%) |  | \% Persistent absentees |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / \mathbf { 1 4 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / \mathbf { 1 5 }}$ |
| England Primary | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Statistical Neighbours <br> Primary | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| RBWM Primary | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| England Secondary | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Statistical Neighbours <br> Secondary | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| RBWM Secondary | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

Source DfE SFR

## OVERALL ABSENCE

7.2 Overall absence is measured by the \% of half day sessions missed.

- RBWM attendance continues to be better than national. Both Primary and Secondary attendance is better than Statistical Neighbours.
- RBWM Primary school attendance level has remained static while national has declined slightly, resulting in a ranking improvement from equal $30^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{LA}$ this year.
- Secondary school attendance level both locally and nationally have declined compared to 2013/14. RBWM attendance ranking has declined very slightly from equal $19^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $22^{\text {nd }} L A$ this year.


## PERSISTENT ABSENCE

7.3 Persistent absence was defined as the \% of students missing $>15 \%$ of sessions for the 2014/15 academic year.

- RBWM figures continue to be better than national. Both Primary and Secondary figures are noticeably better than statistical neighbours.
- Primary school persistent absence levels both locally and nationally have improved compared to 2013/14. RBWM absence figures have improved slightly more than national, resulting in a ranking improvement from equal $24^{\text {th }}$ to equal $6^{\text {th }}$.
- Secondary school persistent absence has improved at national level but remained flat in RBWM. RBWM's ranking remains high, but has decreased from $15^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $18^{\text {th }}$ LA this year.


## ABSENCE DATA FOR 2015/16

7.4 The DfE have published national absence data for the autumn and spring terms of the 2015/16 academic year (but not yet LA or Statistical Neighbours data).

- National absence in 2015/16 at Primary schools remains steady at $3.9 \%$ while for Secondary schools it is down slightly at $5.0 \%$.
- In this DfE Statistical first release the definition of persistent absence has changed. Pupil enrolments missing 10 percent or more of their own possible sessions (due to authorised or unauthorised absence) are classified as persistent absentees. As the number of sessions missed to be a persistent absentee has gone down from $15 \%$ to $10 \%$, the level of persistence absentees has increased to $8.8 \%$ for Primary schools and $12.3 \%$ for Secondary schools nationally.


## SCHOOL LEVEL ABSENCE DATA

7.5 The most recently published school level absence data is for Autumn and Spring terms 2015/16 and is from RAISEonline. Pupil enrolments missing 10 percent or more of their own possible sessions (due to authorised or unauthorised absence) are classified as persistent absentees.

Table 7b - Absence in RBWM schools 2015/6 (Infant/Junior/Primary)

| School name | Overall absence (\%) | \% Persistent absentees (10\%+) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England Primary | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| RBWM (primary state-funded) | n/a | n/a |
| All Saints CofE Junior School | 3.6 | 7.1 |
| Alwyn Infant and Nursery School | 3.5 | 8.7 |
| Bisham CofE Primary School | 3.5 | 10.2 |
| Boyne Hill CofE Infant and Nursery School | 3.3 | 5.6 |
| Burchetts Green CofE Infants' School | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| Cheapside CofE Primary School | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| Cookham Dean CofE Primary School | 4.2 | 8.1 |
| Cookham Rise Primary School | 3.8 | 8.9 |
| Courthouse Junior School | 3.6 | 7.9 |
| Datchet St Mary's CofE Primary School | 6.5 | 20.0 |
| Furze Platt Infant School | 4.3 | 9.9 |
| Furze Platt Junior School | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School, Cookham | 3.8 | 5.4 |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School, Sunningdale | 2.8 | 5.3 |
| Holyport CofE (Aided) Primary School | 4.0 | 7.2 |
| Knowl Hill CofE Primary School | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Larchfield Primary and Nursery School | 5.1 | 15.0 |
| Lowbrook Academy | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Oldfield Primary School | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Riverside Primary School and Nursery | 5.0 | 13.2 |
| St Edmund Campion | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| St Francis Catholic Primary School, South Ascot | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| St Luke's CofE Primary School | 4.9 | 15.7 |
| St Mary's Catholic Primary School, Maidenhead | 3.4 | 4.9 |
| St Michael's CofE Primary School, Sunninghill | 3.6 | 6.0 |
| South Ascot Village Primary School | 4.9 | 11.1 |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary School | 4.1 | 15.2 |
| Wessex Primary School | 3.7 | 7.7 |
| White Waltham CofE Academy | 2.9 | 4.4 |
| Woodlands Park Primary School | 5.4 | 18.6 |
| Wraysbury Primary School | 4.7 | 12.2 |

Source : RAISEonline

Table 7c - Absence in RBWM schools 2015/6 (First)

| School name | Overall <br> absence (\%) | \% Persistent <br> absentees <br> $(10 \%+)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| England Primary | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |
| RBWM Primary | 4.3 | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ |
| Alexander First School | 3.3 | 10.9 |
| Braywood CofE First School | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| Clewer Green CofE First School | 6.0 | 7.4 |
| Dedworth Green First School | 4.7 | 17.3 |
| Eton Porny CofE First School | 5.1 | 14.1 |
| Eton Wick CofE First School | 4.1 | 14.0 |
| Hilltop First School | 3.6 | 8.1 |
| Homer First School | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| King's Court First School | 3.4 | 5.6 |
| Oakfield First School | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| The Queen Anne Royal Free First School | 3.7 | 11.1 |
| The Royal First School | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| St Edward's Catholic First School | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Trinity St Stephen CofE Aided First School |  | 8.5 |
| Source :RAISEn |  |  |

Source: RAISEonline

Table 7d - Absence in RBWM schools 2015/16 (Middle)

| School name | Overall <br> absence (\%) | \% Persistent <br> absentees <br> $(\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ +) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| England Middle | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ |
| RBWM Middle | 4.7 | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ |
| Dedworth Middle School | 3.2 | 9.2 |
| St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle <br> School | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| St Peter's CofE Middle School | 4.5 | 11.8 |
| Trevelyan Middle School | 9.8 |  |

Source : RAISEonline

Table 7e - Absence in RBWM schools 2015/16 (Secondary/Upper)

| School name | Overall <br> absence (\%) | \% Persistent <br> absentees <br> (10\%+) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| England Secondary | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ |
| RBWM Secondary | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ | n/a |
| Altwood Secondary School | 6.0 | 15.0 |
| Charters Secondary School | 5.0 | 10.4 |
| Churchmead Secondary School | 5.0 | 10.4 |
| Cox Green Secondary School | 4.3 | 7.1 |
| Desborough College Secondary School | 4.2 | 7.9 |
| Furze Platt Secondary School | 4.3 | 7.3 |
| Holyport College Secondary School | 4.9 | 12.5 |
| Newlands Secondary School | 4.2 | 9.9 |
| The Windsor Boys Upper School | 5.1 | 10.8 |
| Windsor Girls Upper School | 6.4 | 13.8 |

Source : RAISEonline

## FIXED PENALTY FINES AND PROSECUTIONS

7.6 Table 7f below shows the number of Fixed Penalty Notices issued in 2015/6. More Fixed Penalty Notices were issued for the primary phase than the secondary phase. Table 7 g shows the number of cases which were taken to court. This was higher for the secondary phase.

## Table7f - Fixed Penalty Notices

| Number of Fixed Penalty Notices Issued 2015/16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | First / Primary | Middle / <br> Secondary | Special |
| 197 | 116 | 79 | 2 |

Table 7g - Parents Prosecuted

| Number of Parents Prosecuted 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | First / Primary | Middle / <br> Secondary | Special |  |
| 23 | 6 | 17 | 0 |  |

## SECTION 8 - EXCLUSIONS DATA

## BACKGROUND

8.1 National comparisons relate to 2014/15 academic year and come from the DfE SFR. National data for 2015/16 is expected to be published in July 2017.

## PERMANENT EXCLUSIONS

8.2 The table gives RBWM exclusions over the last four years.

Table 8a - Permanent Exclusions

|  | RBWM Permanent Exclusions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |
| Number of pupils* | 10 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| $\%$ of Total pupils | $0.04 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |

Source: Exclusions SFR except 2015/16 (Educational Welfare)
\# SFR rounds total pupil numbers to nearest 10

- The number of Permanent Exclusions in RBWM has risen in 2015/16.
- The national exclusion rate in 2014/15 (the latest year for which data is available) was $0.07 \%$ (i.e. on average 7 students in every 10,000 were permanently excluded).
- In 2015/6 there were 3 Permanent Exclusions in the Primary phase, compared to zero the year before. The number of Permanent Exclusions in the Secondary phase was 20 this year, up from 10 in 2014/15.

A breakdown of Permanent Exclusions by school and reason code for 2014/5, 2015/6 and 2016/7 year to date is shown in Table 8b. Permanent Exclusions in out of borough and independent schools are shown in italics and are included in the totals.

Table 8b - Permanent Exclusions by reason code

| Academic Year 2014/15 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| School | No. of Permanent Exclusions | Reason |
| Cox Green School | 3 | VA, PDB, Drugs |
| Altwood | 3 | PDB |
| Desborough | 2 | PDB, PA |
| Churchmead | 1 | PAC |
| Charters | 1 | PDB |
| Burnham Grammar | 1 | PDB |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |


| Academic Year 2015/16 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| School | No. of Permanent Exclusions | Reason |
| Altwood | 4 | PDB x3, H \& S |
| Desborough | 3 | PDB x 2, PAC |
| Churchmead | 3 | Weapon, Drugs, PDB |
| Cox Green School | 2 | VA x 2 |
| Windsor Girls | 2 | Bullying, PAC |
| Courthouse Junior | 2 | PDB, PAC |
| Charters | 1 | PDB |
| St Peters Middle School | 1 | PDB |
| Bisham Primary School | 1 | PDB |
| Holyport College | 1 | Drugs |
| St Pirans Ind. | 1 | PAC |
| Licensed Victuallers | 1 | PAC |
| Thames Valley School | $\mathbf{1}$ | PDB |
| Herschel Grammar | $\mathbf{1}$ | Drugs |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |


| Academic Year 2016/17 (to 06/02/17) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| School | No. of Permanent Exclusions | Reason |
| Desborough | 2 | PDB, Damage (pending) |
| Altwood | 2 | Drugs |
| Churchmead | 2 | PA A\&C |
| Cox Green School | 1 | PDB |
| Windsor Boys School | 1 | Weapon |
| Dedworth Middle | 1 | PDB |
| Holyport College | 1 | PAC |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |

## Key:

PDB - Persistent Disruptive Behaviour
VA - Verbal Assault
PA - Physical Assault
PAC - Physical Assault on child
H \& S - Health and Safety
PA A\&C - Physical Assault on Adult and Child

## FIXED TERM EXCLUSIONS (FTES)

8.3 School level fixed term exclusion data is shown in Tables 8c and 8d for 2014/5. The number of FTEs as a \% of pupils on roll is given as a means of direct comparison between schools.

Table 8c - Exclusions by School 2014/15 (First/Infant/Junior/Primary)

|  |  | Fixed Term Exclusions |  | Permanent Exclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Pupils on roll | Number | As \% of pupils | Number |
| Alexander First | 121 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| All Saints Junior | 260 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Alwyn Infants | 303 | 7 | 2.3\% | 0 |
| Bisham Primary | 107 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Boyne Hill Infants | 238 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Braywick Court | 27 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Braywood First | 142 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Burchetts Green Infants | 66 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cheapside Primary | 115 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Clewer Green First | 266 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cookham Dean | 176 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cookham Rise | 202 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Courthouse Junior | 402 | 4 | 1.0\% | 0 |
| Datchet St Mary's | 284 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Dedworth Green First | 181 | 21 | 11.6\% | 0 |
| Riverside Primary | 268 | 7 | 2.6\% | 0 |
| Eton Porny First | 127 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Eton Wick First | 163 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Furze Platt Infants | 270 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Furze Platt Junior | 333 | 1 | 0.3\% | 0 |
| Hilltop First | 230 | 2 | 0.9\% | 0 |
| Holy Trinity, Cookham | 214 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Holy Trinity, S'dale | 211 | 1 | 0.5\% | 0 |
| Holyport Primary | 399 | 9 | 2.3\% | 0 |
| Homer First | 207 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Kings Court First | 254 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Knowl Hill Primary | 80 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Larchfield Primary | 209 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Lowbrook Primary | 269 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Oakfield First | 281 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Oldfield Primary | 300 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Queen Anne First | 147 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| South Ascot Primary | 233 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Edmund Campion | 398 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Edwards First | 254 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Francis Primary | 210 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Luke's Primary | 244 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Mary's Primary | 210 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Michael's Primary | 207 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| The Royal | 99 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Trinity St Stephen | 144 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| altham St Lawrence | 124 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Wessex Primary | 465 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| White Waltham | 209 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Woodlands Primary | 156 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Wraysbury Primary | 365 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |

Source RBWM Educational Welfare

Table 8d - Exclusions by School 2014/15 (Middle/Secondary/Upper/Special)

|  |  | Fixed Term Exclusions |  | Permanent Exclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Pupils on roll | Number | as \% of pupils | Number |
| Dedworth Middle | 456 | 25 | 5.5\% | 0 |
| St Edwards Middle | 434 | 0 | 0.\% | 0 |
| St Peters Middle | 229 | 1 | 0.4\% | 0 |
| Trevelyan Middle | 439 | 11 | 2.5\% | 0 |
| Altwood | 784 | n/a | n/a | 3 |
| Charters | 1710 | 43 | 2.5\% | 1 |
| Churchmead | 413 | 48 | 11.6\% | 1 |
| Cox Green | 898 | n/a | n/a | 3 |
| Desborough | 612 | 52 | 8.5\% | 2 |
| Furze Platt | 1280 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Holyport College | 123 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Newlands | 1128 | 10 | 0.9\% | 0 |
| Windsor Boys | 893 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Windsor Girls | 747 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Manor Green | 226 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| RISE | 9 | 5 | 55\% | 0 |
| Total (all Phases) | 20867 | 248 | 1.2\% | 10 |

Source RBWM Educational Welfare

## SECTION 9 - PUPIL DESTINATIONS

## KEY STAGE 4 AND KEY STAGE 5 PUPILS 2014/15

The pupil destinations for 2014/15 are taken from the Department of Education Statistical First Release.

## DESTINATIONS IN THE YEAR AFTER KEY STAGE 4

### 9.1 Education and employment

The proportion of RBWM students ( $95 \%$ ) that went on to, or remained in, education or employment was similar to national and South East (both 94\%)

### 9.2 Types of institutions

The proportion of RBWM pupils in school sixth forms (56\%) continues to be well above national and South East, both 39\%.

### 9.3 Disadvantaged Pupils

The proportion of disadvantaged students at KS4 in sustained education or employment in RBWM was $88 \%$, in line with national and just above South East (87\%).

Table 9a - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 4

|  | No. of students | Overall Education or Employ't /Training Destinat'n | \% in FE College | \% in School $6^{\text {th }}$ form | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { in } 6^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { form } \\ & \text { College } \end{aligned}$ | Destinat'n not sustained | Activity not captured in data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England | 548280 | 94\% | 38\% | 39\% | 13\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| SE | 86750 | 95\% | 34\% | 39\% | 18\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| RBWM | 1510 | 95\% | 30\% | 56\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| England disadv | 144575 | 88\% | 46\% | 27\% | 10\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| SE disadv | 16385 | 87\% | 45\% | 25\% | 11\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| RBWM disadv | 240 | 88\% | 42\% | 35\% | X | 9\% | 3\% |
| England nondisadv | 403700 | 96\% | 35\% | 44\% | 14\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| SE non-disadv | 70365 | 96\% | 31\% | 43\% | 19\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| RBWM nondisadv | 1270 | 97\% | 27\% | 60\% | X | 2\% | 1\% |

Source DfE SFR

Table 9b - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 4 - School level data

|  | No. of students | Overall Education or Employ't /Training Destinat'n | \% in FE College | \% in School $6^{\text {th }}$ form | \% in $6^{\text {th }}$ form College | Destinat'n not sustained | Activity not captured in data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altwood | 130 | 97\% | 38\% | 51\% | X | X | X |
| Charters | 241 | 98\% | 17\% | 70\% | 9\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Churchmead | 98 | 90\% | 69\% | 17\% | X | 6\% | 4\% |
| Cox Green | 137 | 91\% | 37\% | 42\% | X | 7\% | 2\% |
| Desborough | 132 | 96\% | 22\% | 67\% | X | 4\% | 0\% |
| Furze Platt | 188 | 96\% | 27\% | 62\% | X | X | X |
| Newlands | 182 | 98\% | 20\% | 66\% | 9\% | X | X |
| The Windsor Boys | 220 | 95\% | 31\% | 55\% | X | 3\% | 2\% |
| Windsor Girls | 183 | 96\% | 29\% | 52\% | X | X | X |

Source DfE SFR

## DESTINATIONS IN THE YEAR AFTER TAKING A LEVEL/ LEVEL 3 QUALIFICATIONS

### 9.4 Education and employment

The proportion of students from RBWM (school sixth forms) recorded in sustained education and/or employment in the year after A levels is $91 \%$ equal to South East and just above national (90\%).

### 9.5 Selective institutions

- The proportion of students from RBWM schools going to 'Top Third' Higher Education Institutions (HEls) remains at 26\%. This is equal to the England average for state-funded schools of 26\%.
- The proportion of students from RBWM schools going to Russell Group universities has increased to $16 \%$. The England average remains at $17 \%$.
- RBWM has a far higher proportion of pupils in school sixth forms than nationally. National data shows that students at colleges are much less likely to go to selective institutions (see table 9c). The combined figure for schools and colleges shows RBWM has similar percentages to national going to selective institutions.


### 9.6 Disadvantaged Pupils

The proportion of KS5 students in RBWM schools who were disadvantaged, that were in sustained education or employment/training has increased to 79\% but is still below the national figure of $86 \%$. The RBWM disadvantaged cohort at Key Stage 5 is very small, so each student contributes around $2 \%$ to the figures.

Table 9c-Destinations in the year after Key Stage 5

|  | Number <br> of <br> students | Overall <br> Education or <br> Employment <br> Training <br> Destination | \% UK <br> Higher <br> Education <br> Institution | \%:Top <br> Third of <br> HEls | \% Russell <br> Group (incl. <br> Ox. and <br> Cam.) | Activity <br> not <br> Captured <br> in Data |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England schools | 173720 | $90 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| South East schools | 27895 | $91 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| RBWM schools | 735 | $91 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| England colleges | 189210 | $86 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| South East colleges | 35130 | $87 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| RBWM colleges | 270 | $82 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $x$ | $8 \%$ |
|  <br> colleges | 362930 | $88 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| South East schools <br> \& colleges | 63025 | $88 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
|  <br> colleges | 1000 | $88 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| England schools <br> disad | 22655 | $86 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| South East schools <br> disad | 2225 | $86 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| RBWM schools <br> disad | 45 | $79 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $x$ |
| England schools <br> non disadv | 151065 | $91 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| South East schools <br> non disadv | 25670 | $91 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| RBWM schools non <br> disadv | 690 | $92 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $x$ |

Source DfE SFR
Table 9d - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 5 - School level data

|  | Number <br> of <br> School Name | Overall <br> Education or <br> Employment <br> Training <br> Destination | \% UK <br> Higher <br> Education <br> Institution | \% Top <br> Third of <br> HEls | \% Russell <br> Group (incl. <br> Ox. and <br> Cam.) | Activity <br> not <br> Captured <br> in Data |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altwood | 49 | $98 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $x$ |
| Charters | 212 | $89 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Cox Green | 38 | $95 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $x$ |
| Desborough | 42 | $98 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $x$ |
| Furze Platt | 115 | $92 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Newlands | 94 | $93 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| The Windsor <br> Boys | 108 | $82 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Windsor Girls | 75 | $95 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| BCA | 268 | $82 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $x$ | $8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source DfE SFR

## BACKGROUND AND TECHNICAL NOTES

All data from DfE Statistical Release on Destination Measures, published January 2017.

The Key Stage 4 Measure is based on activity at academic age 16 (i.e. the year after the young person took their GCSEs)

The Key Stage 5 Measure is based on activity in the year after the young person took their A Level or other level 3 qualifications.

The data relates to young people completing KS4 or KS5 in 2013/14 and identifies their destinations in 2014/15. There is therefore a time-lag before DfE publish this data. To be included in the measure, young people have to show sustained participation in an education or employment destination in all of the first two terms of the year after they completed KS4 or took A level or other level 3 qualifications. The first two terms are defined as October to March.

The level of data not captured has reduced for RBWM KS5 from 21\% last year to $4 \%$ this year which makes data much more robust to make comparisons to National and South East.

Numbers relate to state-funded mainstream schools and colleges.
In all tables, DfE have applied the following:

- "x" means the data has been suppressed as the school or college has fewer than 6 students in the cohort, or small numbers, 1 's and 2's in the reporting lines. Results are not shown because of the risk of an individual student being identified.
- All totals have been rounded to the nearest 10.
- Zeros are shown as zeros.
- All remaining breakdowns have been rounded to the nearest 5. This includes cohort numbers.
- Suppression of small numbers is reflected in the associated percentages.


# SECTION 10 - YOUNG PEOPLE NOT IN EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT OR TRAINING (NEET) 

## NEET DATA

10.1 NEET data is held on DfE's NCCIS (National Client Caseload Information System). The key measures recorded on this system have changed.
10.2 Firstly, data now relates to young people aged 16-17 (previously 16-18).
10.3 Secondly, a new headline measure has been introduced which combines the LA's NEET rate with their 'not known' rate. DfE believe this gives a more accurate and well-rounded impression of how well LAs are fulfilling their duty to track young people and encourage them to participate. In addition some LAs statistics were significantly underestimating the number of young people in their area who were NEET because of the high number of 'not knowns' in their data (NCCIS website).
10.4 Table 10a shows the numbers of RBWM 16-17 year olds identified as NEET (not in Education, Employment and Training), EET (in Education, Employment and Training) and number for which the information is unknown from September 2016 (when NCCIS commenced the use of the new measure).

Table 10a Number of 16-17 year olds NEET and EET in RBWM

10.5 The key findings were as follows:

- The average number of 16-17 year olds identified as NEET in RBWM was 59 over the 3 months to November 2016.
- The average \% NEET for the 3 months to November 2016 was 2.3\%. This is the percentage of young people known to be NEET and indicates the minimum proportion of young people that are NEET. This is the same at the England average for the same period.
- The \% unknown was $47.4 \%$ for the 3 months to November 2016. This is much higher than the England average of $15.4 \%$ for the same period. This high RBWM level of 16-17 year olds with 'unknown' status means that it is hard to produce any meaningful analysis from this data.
However, the recent appointment of a new member of staff who has recommenced collection of this data in the Borough should mean that the proportion of 'unknown' falls significantly in the coming months. There was a noticeable fall in unknowns (from 1264 to 1077) in the most recent month which supports this.
- The combined NEET and unknown figure for the 3 months to November 2016 was $49.7 \%$, again much higher than the national figure of $17.7 \%$
- The proportion of young people known to be in education, employment or training has averaged $50.2 \%$ over the 3 months to November 2016. This is much lower than the England average of $80.9 \%$ for the same period. Again, this is a minimum percentage for the Royal Borough and we would expect many of the young people for whom the status is unknown are actually in employment or training.
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## Progress 8

How Progress 8 and Attainment 8 measures are calculated

## Appendix A

## Summary of Progress 8 and Attainment 8

Progress 8 was introduced in 2016 (and 2015 for schools that chose to opt in early). It aims to capture the progress a pupil makes from the end of primary school to the end of secondary school. It is a type of value added measure, which means that pupils' results are compared to the actual achievements of other pupils with similar prior attainment.

The new performance measures are designed to encourage schools to offer a broad and balanced curriculum with a focus on an academic core at key stage 4, and reward schools for the teaching of all their pupils, measuring performance across 8 qualifications. Every increase in every grade a pupil achieves will attract additional points in the performance tables.

Attainment 8 measures the achievement of a pupil across 8 qualifications including mathematics (double weighted) and English (double weighted), 3 further qualifications that count in the English Baccalaureate (EBacc) measure and 3 further qualifications that can be GCSE qualifications (including EBacc subjects) or any other non-GCSE qualifications on the DfE approved list. Each individual grade a pupil achieves is assigned a point score, which is then used to calculate a pupil's Attainment 8 score (see second step below).

## How we calculate Progress 8

Progress 8 compares pupils' key stage 4 results to those of other pupils nationally with similar prior attainment.
Our first step is to put all pupils nationally into prior attainment groups based on their key stage 2 results, so that we have groups of pupils who have similar starting points to each other.

We do this by working out a pupils' average performance at key stage 2 across English and mathematics. Pupils' actual test results in English and maths are converted into points and an average of the points is taken to create an overall point score. Pupils are then allocated into prior attainment groups with other pupils who have the same key stage 2 point scores as them.

Our second step is to work out a pupil's Attainment 8 score. The points allocated according to grades the pupil achieves for all 8 subjects are added together to give the Attainment 8 score. English and maths point scores are double weighted to signify their importance. The points that pupils are allocated for each grade are in the table below:

## Appendix A

| GCSE grade | 2016 Points | 2017 and <br> 2018 Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| F | 2.00 | 1.50 |
| E | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| D | 4.00 | 3.00 |
| C | 5.00 | 4.00 |
| B | 6.00 | 5.50 |
| A | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| A $^{*}$ | 8.00 | 8.50 |

In 2017, new GCSE qualifications in English and mathematics, graded 1-9, will be included in performance tables, with others to follow in 2018 and 2019. Points will be allocated to the new GCSEs on a 1-9 point scale corresponding to the new 1 to 9 grades, e.g. a grade 9 will get 9 points in the performance measures.

To minimise change, unreformed GCSEs and all other qualifications will be mapped onto the 1-9 scale from 2017 (with 8.5 being the maximum points available for unreformed GCSEs).

Our third step is to calculate individual pupil's progress 8 score. Progress 8 is calculated for individual pupils solely in order to calculate a school's Progress 8 score. There is no need for schools to share individual Progress 8 scores with their pupils. Schools should continue to focus on which qualifications are most suitable for individual pupils, as the grades pupils achieve will help them reach their goals for the next stage of their education or training.

The calculation is as follows:

- We take the individual pupil's Attainment 8 score (for example 56).
- We compare this to the national average Attainment 8 score for pupils in the same prior attainment group.
- A pupil's progress score is the difference between their actual Attainment 8 result and the average result of those in their prior attainment group.
- If David, for example, achieved an Attainment 8 score of 56 and the average Attainment 8 score for his prior attainment group was 55 - his progress score would be +1 .
- We divide +1 by 10 to give an individual pupil's Progress 8 score, which is in this example is 0.1 .

Our final step is to create a school level progress score. We do this by adding together the Progress 8 scores of all the pupils in year 11 and dividing by the number of pupils in the school.
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## Interpreting a school's Progress 8 score

Progress 8 scores will be centred around 0 , with most schools within the range of -1 to +1 .

- A score of 0 means pupils in this school on average do about as well at KS4 as those with similar prior attainment nationally.
- A positive score means pupils in this school on average do better at KS4 as those with similar prior attainment nationally.
- A negative score means pupils in this school on average do worse at KS4 as those with similar prior attainment nationally.

A negative score does not mean that pupils did not make any progress; rather it means they made less progress than other pupils nationally with similar starting points.
For example, if a school has a Progress 8 score of -0.25 this would mean that, on average, pupils in this school achieved a quarter of a grade less than other pupils nationally with similar starting points.

## Confidence intervals

Progress 8 results are calculated for a school based on a specific cohort of pupils. A school may have been just as effective but have performed differently with a different set of pupils. To account for this natural uncertainty $95 \%$ confidence intervals around Progress 8 scores are provided as a proxy for the range of scores within which each school's underlying performance measure can be confidently said to lie.

In addition, the greater the number of students, the smaller the range of the confidence interval. For smaller schools the confidence interval tends to be larger, since fewer pupils are included, and therefore the score could be impacted by performance of an individual pupil more than would be the case in a larger school. We publish the 95\% confidence intervals alongside a school's progress scores to reflect this uncertainty and provide context to progress scores of smaller schools.

Confidence intervals are presented as two numbers - the lower and upper limits within which we are $95 \%$ confident the performance of a school may lie. If the lower confidence limit is greater than zero it can be interpreted as meaning that the school has achieved greater than average progress compared to pupils with similar starting points nationally. Similarly, if the upper confidence limit is below zero, then the school has made less than average progress. Where a confidence interval overlaps zero, this means that the school's progress score is not significantly different from the national average.
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[^0]:    * Education Providers refers to: Early Years settings, Schools (all state funded schools including academies, free schools and maintained schools) and Post 16 providers.

